arXiv:1101.0120v1 [hep-th] 30 Dec 2010

DFTT/9/2010
NORDITA-2010-115

Open strings in the system D5/D9

P. Di Vecchia[a,b], **R. Marotta**[c], **I. Pesando** [d] **and F. Pezzella**[c1]

[a] *The Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*

[b] *Nordita, Roslagstullsbacken 23, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden*

[c] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli*
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo ed. 6, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

[d] *Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino and INFN, Sezione di Torino,*
via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

Abstract

We construct the six-dimensional Lagrangian for the massless twisted open strings with one end-point ending on a stack of D5 and the other on a stack of D9 branes, interacting with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes. It is first obtained by uplifting to six dimensions the four-dimensional Lagrangian of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet and manifestly exhibits an $SU(2)$ symmetry. We show by an explicit calculation that it is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric in six dimensions and then we check various terms of this Lagrangian by computing string amplitudes on the disk. Finally, starting from this Lagrangian and assuming the presence of non-zero magnetic fluxes along the extra compact dimensions, we determine the spectrum of the Kaluza-Klein states which agrees with the corresponding one obtained from string theory in the field theory limit.

---

[1] Partially supported by INFN-MIT "Bruno Rossi" Exchange Program.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Open strings attached to magnetized D branes and the field theory limit 5
- 2.1 Open string spectrum for magnetized D branes 5
- 2.2 Field theory limit for D9/D9 6
- 2.3 Field theory limit for D5/D9 8

3 Lagrangians for the open strings 99, 55 and 59 11

4 Supersymmetry invariance of the action for the strings D5/D9 16

5 Vertex operators for open strings 99, 55 and 59 18
- 5.1 $D9/D9$ open strings 18
- 5.2 $D5/D5$ open strings 19
- 5.3 $D9/D5$ and $D5/D9$ open strings 21

6 Computing string amplitudes 21

7 The Kaluza-Klein reduction 23

8 Conclusions and outlook 26

A Notations 27

B Magnetized D branes 30

C Uplift from four to six for the strings 55 32

D Uplift from four to six for the strings 59 36

E Uplift from six to ten dimensions for the strings 99 39

F $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry transformations 40

G Calculation of correlators 46

1 Introduction

A very powerful aspect of string theory consists in its being able to view different field theories as different limits of the same string model. An example of this is provided by open strings attached to magnetized D9-branes on the background

$R^{3,1} \times T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$. In this case the spectrum of open strings ending on branes with different magnetizations (called in the following *twisted* open strings) and their interaction depends on the difference of magnetizations ν_i in the three tori T^2. In particular, in the limit where the D branes have the same magnetization, i.e. $\nu_i = 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$, the open strings stretched between them are described by the ten dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory on $R^{3,1} \times T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$. On the other hand, by taking $\nu_1 = 0, \nu_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2}$, one has effectively a system of D5/D9 branes with different kinds of open strings. There are the open strings attached to two D9 branes that are again described by the ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills on $R^{3,1} \times T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$. Then, there are open strings attached to two D5 branes that are described by a six-dimensional gauge theory obtained by dimensionally reducing the ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills compactified on $R^{3,1} \times T^2$. Finally, there are the open strings stretched between a D9 and a D5 that are described by a six-dimensional theory whose Lagrangian is that of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ hypermultiplet in six dimensions.

Therefore, in string theory, one has a complete description of the open strings ending on two D branes with different magnetizations [1–6] and it is possible to interpolate between the two field theories discussed above by suitably changing the magnetization. Magnetized branes allow one to construct semirealistic extensions of the Standard Model [2] and therefore it can be very helpful to derive the low-energy effective actions of the open strings attached to them. In this perspective, if one is not interested in string corrections, it is easier to derive such effective actions in a purely field theoretical context rather than to extract them from the string amplitudes. In fact, as shown in Refs. [10–12] (see also [13–17]), for the open strings attached to two unmagnetized D9 branes, one can start from $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills in ten dimensions and, introducing background magnetic fields in the extra dimensions, one obtains a description of the twisted open strings in the limit $\alpha' \to 0$. It is then natural to expect that, if one starts instead from the low-energy effective action describing the open strings attached to a D9 and a D5, and introduces background magnetic fields in the extra dimensions, then a description of the twisted D5/D9 strings is obtained in the limit $\alpha' \to 0$. This description is T-dual to the one given in Ref. [18, 19]. Naturally, it is expected that the field theory description of magnetized branes has to coincide with the stringy one in the limit $\alpha' \to 0$.

In this paper we show that this is indeed the case also for the D5/D9 twisted open strings. In order to do that, we need to construct the complete six-dimensional action describing the massless D5/D9 twisted open strings attached to unmagnetized D branes interacting with the untwisted ones, corresponding to the gauge multiplets living respectively in the world-volume of the D5 and D9 branes. The new feature of this action, with respect to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills, is that, while the D5/D9 and D5/D5 open strings live in six dimensions, the D9/D9 live instead in the entire ten dimensional space-time.

---

[2] See for instance Refs. [7–9] and References therein.

In this paper we construct this six-dimensional action by two different methods. The first one consists in starting from the four-dimensional Lagrangian of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet interacting with both gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes and then by uplifting it to six dimensions. In this way we get a six-dimensional theory where not only the fields corresponding to the twisted open strings, but also both gauge multiplets living on the D5 and D9 branes are six dimensional. This approach is straightforward because the four-dimensional Lagrangian for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet can be easily constructed using a superfield formalism and also its uplift to six dimensions is straightforward. A nice and new feature of this six dimensional action is the fact that the $SU(2)$ R-symmetry of the original four-dimensional theory is still manifest although only an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry survives in six dimensions. During the uplift we may, in general, lose the original supersymmetry and therefore we also check, through a direct calculation, that the six-dimensional action is supersymmetric. In this approach, however, the gauge multiplet living on the D9 brane is still treated as six- and not as ten-dimensional. Furthermore, the six-dimensional Lagrangian so constructed still contains the auxiliary fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes and, in order to eliminate them, we should consider it together with the Lagrangian of the D9/D9 open strings. When we eliminate them, by using their algebraic equation of motion, we get in the six-dimensional Lagrangian for the open strings D5/D9 quartic terms for the twisted scalars. We stress, however, that all fields, including those that live in the world-volume of the D9 branes, are treated as six-dimensional fields in this field theoretical approach.

In order to have an independent check of this six-dimensional Lagrangian, we construct the vertex operators corresponding to the massless open strings D9/D9, D5/D5 and D5/D9 and we use them to derive some of the terms of the D5/D9 and D5/D5 Lagrangians by computing string amplitudes. In string theory, however, the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 are treated as ten dimensional fields. This means that in string theory we are not only able to check the previously constructed six-dimensional Lagrangian, but we can also determine the couplings where the fields corresponding to the twisted open strings D5/D9 are six-dimensional, while those corresponding to the open strings D9/D9 are ten-dimensional. In conclusion, by using these two combined methods, we can construct the complete low energy six-dimensional Lagrangian for the system D5/D9 and we get various hints on how to extend it to a Lagrangian where the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes are treated as ten-dimensional.

We face, however, a problem. The uplift of the six-dimensional supersymmetric theory to ten dimensions in general does not insure that the uplifted theory still remains supersymmetric. This problem may be connected with the fact that, in principle in string theory, we should treat all fields, including those living on the D5 brane, as ten dimensional fields and that then the six-dimensional action is obtained by integrating over their wave function in the four extra dimensions. We do not analyze this problem in this paper and we hope to be able to discuss it in a future publication.

Finally, starting from the complete D5/D9 Lagrangian where the fields living on the world-volume of the D5 and D9 branes are respectively six- and ten-dimensional, and assuming the presence of non-zero magnetic fluxes along the six extra dimensions, we determine the spectrum of Kaluza-Klein states and we show that it is identical to the one that one gets directly from string theory in the corresponding field theory limit. This procedure generalizes to the system D5/D9 what has already been done for the system D9/D9.

In conclusion, the most important and new results of this paper are the construction of the complete six-dimensional Lagrangian describing the interaction of the massless fields, corresponding to the twisted D5/D9 open strings, with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes and the proof that, by introducing in this Lagrangian background magnetic fields, one gets the spectrum of Kaluza-Klein states that one obtains from that of the open strings attached to magnetized D branes in the field theory limit ($\alpha' \to 0$). It is also new, as far as we know, that the Lagrangian has a manifest $SU(2)$ symmetry that is the remnant of the $SU(2)$ R-symmetry of the four-dimensional Lagrangian of the hypermultiplet.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we give the spectrum of the twisted open strings attached to two magnetized D9 branes and we perform two different field theory limits.

In Sect. 3 we construct the six-dimensional Lagrangian describing the interaction of the massless twisted D5/D9 open strings interacting with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes and in Sect. 4 we show that this six-dimensional Lagrangian is supersymmetric.

Sect. 5 is devoted to the construction of the vertex operators associated to all massless open strings of the system D5/D9 and Sect. 6 to compute some string amplitudes checking some terms of the previously constructed Lagrangians.

Finally, in Sect. 7 we introduce background magnetizations and we recover the spectrum of the Kaluza-Klein excitations that agrees with the one obtained directly from string theory in the field theory limit.

This paper contains also seven Appendices where many technical details are presented. In App. A we discuss our notations for the spinors in four, six and ten dimensions and for the Γ-matrices used, while in App. B we give some more detail about magnetized D branes. In Appendices C) and D we discuss the uplift from four to six dimensions respectively for the Lagrangian of the massless open strings 55 and that of the massless open strings 59 and in App. E we consider the uplift from six to ten dimensions of the Lagrangian describing the massless open strings 99. In App. F we show in detail that the six-dimensional Lagrangian for the strings 59 is supersymmetric. Finally, in App. G we compute some correlators in string theory to have an independent check of the various Lagrangians.

2 Open strings attached to magnetized D branes and the field theory limit

In Sect. 2.1 we give the spectrum of open strings attached to two magnetized D branes having different magnetizations and we perform two kinds of field theory limits. The first one, presented in Sect. 2.2, provides the spectrum of open strings attached to two magnetized D9 branes, while the other, presented in Sect. 2.3, gives the spectrum of open strings attached to a D9 and a D5 brane, both in the limit $\alpha' \to 0$.

2.1 Open string spectrum for magnetized D branes

The spectrum of open strings attached to two magnetized D9 branes living on $R^{3,1} \times T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ is given by:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[N^X + N^\psi + \sum_{r=1}^{3} \left(N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} + N_r^{\Psi} \right) - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{3} \nu_r \right] \tag{1}$$

where $x = 1\,(0)$ for the NS (R) sector, the number operators

$$N^X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\, a_n^\dagger \cdot a_n \quad ; \quad N^\psi = \sum_{n=1-\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} n\, \psi_n^\dagger \cdot \psi_n \tag{2}$$

contain the harmonic oscillators along the non-compact directions, while the number operators

$$N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(n+\nu_r) a_{n+\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} a_{n+\nu_r}^{(r)} + (n+1-\nu_r) \bar{a}_{n+1-\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} \bar{a}_{n+1-\nu_r}^{(r)} \right] \tag{3}$$

$$N_r^{\Psi} = \sum_{n=\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} (n+\nu_r) \Psi_{n+\nu_r}^{\dagger\,(r)} \Psi_{n+\nu_r}^{(r)} + \sum_{n=1-\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} (n-\nu_r) \overline{\Psi}_{n-\nu_r}^{\dagger\,(r)} \overline{\Psi}_{n-\nu_r}^{(r)} \tag{4}$$

correspond to the contribution of the oscillators along the three tori T^2.

Let us denote by a and b the two D9 branes with different magnetization. Their magnetization is encoded in the quantities $\nu_r^{(a)}$ and $\nu_r^{(b)}$ $(r = 1, 2, 3)$ along the three tori that are given by:

$$\tan \pi \nu_r^{(a,b)} = \frac{I_{(a,b)}^{(r)}}{n_{(a,b)}^{(r)} T_2^{(r)}} \quad ; \quad T_2^{(r)} \equiv \frac{V_{T_r^2}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \tag{5}$$

where $V_{T^2_r}$ is the physical volume of the r-th torus, while $I^{(r)}_{(a,b)}$ and $n^{(r)}_{(a,b)}$ are respectively the integer magnetic flux and the number of times that the D brane is "wrapped" on the r-th torus. They are related to the Chern class by:

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{n}^{(\mathrm{r})}_{(\mathrm{a,b})}}\left(F^{(r)}_{(a,b)}\right)}{2\pi} = I^{(r)}_{(a,b)} \tag{6}$$

The quantity that gives the shift in the frequency of the oscillators is given by

$$\nu_r \equiv \nu_r^{(a)} - \nu_r^{(b)} \tag{7}$$

corresponding to the difference of the magnetizations of the two D branes along the three tori.

The previous expressions for the number operators in the six compact directions are valid for $0 \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$. They can be extended to the interval $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$ that is the natural range for the NS sector. In this range the first equation in (5) shows that $\tan \pi\nu_r$ varies monotonically from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. When ν_r is negative the number operators along the compactified directions change their form in terms of the harmonic oscillators. In particular, the number operators for the fermionic coordinate of the NS sector are left unchanged, while in those for the fermionic coordinate in the R sector and for the bosonic coordinate we have to exchange Ψ with $\bar{\Psi}$ and a with $\bar{a}$. This is explained in detail in Appendix B. Here we limit ourselves to give the mass spectrum of open strings to include both the case of positive and negative ν_r. One gets:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'}\left[N^X + N^\psi + \sum_{r=1}^{3}\left(N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} + N_r^{\Psi}\right) - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{3}|\nu_r|\right] \tag{8}$$

where the number operators $\mathcal{N}_r^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_r^{\Psi}$ are defined above if ν_r is positive, while they are given in Appendix B when ν_r is negative.

Having introduced different magnetizations on the two D branes, the previous formula describes both the spectrum of open strings ending on two magnetized D9 branes and the spectrum of the open strings with one end-point on a magnetized D9 and the other end-point attached to a magnetized D5 brane. All this is true in string theory. The situation changes when we take the field theory limit that consists in sending $\alpha' \to 0$, while keeping the physical volume V_{T^2} of the torus T^2 fixed. In the following two subsections we take two different field theory limits corresponding to open string excitations attached to two D9 branes or to a D9 and a D5 brane.

2.2 Field theory limit for D9/D9

In the case of two D9 branes, as it can be seen from Eq. (5), the field theory limit as defined above corresponds to values of ν_r proportional to α'. The states left in this limit are those for which the factor α' in the denominator of Eq. (1) is cancelled by a similar factor in the numerator. This happens for the following states in the NS sector:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\Psi}^{(r)\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}\mp|\nu_r|}|0\rangle \quad ; \quad \Psi^{(r)\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}\pm|\nu_r|}|0\rangle \quad ; \quad r=1,2,3 \\
&\Psi^{(i)}_{\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle \quad ; \quad i=2,3 \; .
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For the scalar states in the first line of the previous equation the upper (lower) sign is valid if $\nu_r \geq 0 (\nu_r \leq 0)$. We work in the light-cone gauge and therefore the index i runs over the transverse directions 2 and 3. The mass of the previous eight physical states is given respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha' M_r^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^{3}|\nu_s| \pm |\nu_r| \quad ; \quad r=1,2,3 \\
\alpha' M_i^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^{3}|\nu_s| \quad ; \quad i=2,3 \; .
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

They become all massless in the limit of $\nu_r \to 0$ reproducing the eight on-shell components of a massless gauge field. By using Eq. (5) it is easy to see that their mass remains finite in the field theory limit and is equal to [20]:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_r^2 &= 2\pi\left[\sum_{s=1}^{3}\frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(s)}|}{V_{T_s^2}} \pm 2\frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(r)}|}{V_{T_r^2}}\right] \quad ; \quad r=1,2,3 \\
M_i^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{3}|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(s)}|\frac{2\pi}{V_{T_s^2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(r)} = \frac{I_a^{(r)} n_b^{(r)} - I_b^{(r)} n_a^{(r)}}{n_a^{(r)} n_b^{(r)}} \; . \tag{12}$$

We have a massless scalar if there is a value of r for which one of the previous masses is zero.

They are not, however, the only states that survive in the field theory limit. We can also include an additional bosonic oscillator that we call $a^{(r)}$ and that corresponds to $a_{\nu_r}^{(r)}$ or to $\bar{a}_{\nu_r}^{(r)}$ depending on the sign of ν_r. By including these extra excitations one finally gets the complete field theoretical result:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_r^2 &= 2\pi\left[\sum_{s=1}^{3}\frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(s)}|}{V_{T_s^2}}(2N^{(s)}+1) \pm 2\frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(r)}|}{V_{T_r^2}}\right] \quad ; \quad r=1,2,3 \\
M_i^2 &= 2\pi\sum_{s=1}^{3}\frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(s)}|}{V_{T_s^2}}(2N^{(s)}+1) \quad ; \quad i=2,3
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $N^{(s)} = a^{(s)\dagger} a^{(s)}$ is the oscillator number.

The fermionic mass spectrum of the limiting field theory can be easily obtained from the R sector proceeding as in the NS sector and it results to be:

$$M^2 = 4\pi \sum_{s=1}^{3} \frac{|\tilde{I}_{ab}^{(s)}|}{V_{T_s^2}} (N^{(s)} + N_f^{(s)}) \tag{14}$$

where $N_f^{(s)} = b^{(s)\dagger} b^{(s)}$ is the oscillator number corresponding to the fermionic oscillators Ψ_{ν_s} or $\bar{\Psi}_{\nu_s}$ depending on the sign of ν_s. The lowest state is the vacuum that, because of the GSO projection, is a four-dimensional chiral spinor.

The mass spectrum obtained in the field theory limit agrees completely, as already noticed in Ref. [20], with the spectrum of states found in Refs. [10] and [12] starting from the ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills after the introduction of background magnetic fields in the six extra dimensions.

2.3 Field theory limit for D5/D9

In this subsection we perform a different field theory limit that gives the spectrum of open strings attached to a D5 and a D9 in the limit $\alpha' \to 0$. If we assume that the world-volume of the D5 branes is along the four non-compact dimensions and along the first torus $T_2^{(1)}$, then, requiring that ν_r lies in the interval between $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ implies the following three values of ν_r in the mass formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_1 \equiv \nu_1^{(5)} - \nu_1^{(9)} \\ &\nu_{2,3} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} & if \quad \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} - \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} & if \quad \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad . \tag{15}$$

By inserting the previous expressions in Eq. (8) one gets:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[N^X + N^\psi + \sum_{r=1}^{3} \left(N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} + N_r^{\Psi} \right) + \frac{x}{2} \left(|\nu_1| - |\nu_2^{(9)}| - |\nu_3^{(9)}| \right) \right] \tag{16}$$

where N^X and N^ψ are given in Eqs. (2), $N_1^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is given in Eqs. (109) and (110) with analogous expressions for N_1^{Ψ} in the Ramond sector, while for the NS sector N_1^{Ψ} is given in Eq. (4) that is valid in the entire interval $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. The number operators for the second and third torus are listed in Appendix B.

We want now to perform the field theory limit taking care of Eq. (5). Let us start from the NS sector. In the field theory limit we are left with the following mass formula:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[|\nu_1| \left(N^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + |\nu_2^{(9)}| \left(N_f^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + |\nu_3^{(9)}| \left(N_f^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \tag{17}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{(1)} &\equiv a^{(1)\dagger}_{\nu_1} a^{(1)}_{\nu_1} \quad \text{if} \quad \nu_1 > 0 \\
N^{(1)} &\equiv \bar{a}^{(1)\dagger}_{|\nu_1|} \bar{a}^{(1)}_{|\nu_1|} \quad \text{if} \quad \nu_1 < 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_f^{(2,3)} &= \bar{\Psi}^{(2,3)\dagger}_{\nu^{(9)}_{2,3}} \bar{\Psi}^{(2,3)}_{\nu^{(9)}_{2,3}} \quad \text{if} \quad \nu^{(9)}_{2,3} > 0 \\
N_f^{(2,3)} &= \Psi^{(2,3)\dagger}_{|\nu^{(9)}_{2,3}|} \Psi^{(2,3)}_{|\nu^{(9)}_{2,3}|} \quad \text{if} \quad \nu^{(9)}_{2,3} < 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

In the following, for simplifying the notation, we name $N^{(1)} = a^\dagger a$ and $N_f^{(2,3)} = b^\dagger_{2,3} b_{2,3}$. Therefore, the following four states survive in the field theory limit. Two of them have an odd number of fermions:

$$
(a^\dagger)^m b_2^\dagger |0\rangle \quad ; \quad (a^\dagger)^m b_3^\dagger |0\rangle
$$

with a mass given respectively by:

$$
M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[|\nu_1| \left(m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \left(|\nu_2^{(9)}| - |\nu_3^{(9)}| \right) \right] \ . \tag{20}
$$

The other two states have an even number of fermions:

$$
(a^\dagger)^m |0\rangle \quad ; \quad (a^\dagger)^m b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger |0\rangle \tag{21}
$$

with a mass given respectively by:

$$
M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[|\nu_1| \left(m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \mp \frac{1}{2} \left(|\nu_2^{(9)}| + |\nu_3^{(9)}| \right) \right] \ . \tag{22}
$$

By performing the field theory limit through Eq. (5) we can group together the two expressions in Eqs. (20) and (22) respectively as follows:

$$
M^2_{GSO} = \frac{2\pi}{\left(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}\right)^2} \left[\frac{|\tilde{I}^{(1)}_{59}|}{T_2^{(1)}} \left(2N^{(1)} + 1 \right) \pm \left(\frac{|I_9^{(2)}|}{T_2^{(2)} n_9^{(2)}} - \frac{|I_9^{(3)}|}{T_2^{(3)} n_9^{(3)}} \right) \right] \tag{23}
$$

and

$$
M^2_{OGSO} = \frac{2\pi}{\left(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}\right)^2} \left[\frac{|\tilde{I}^{(1)}_{59}|}{T_2^{(1)}} \left(2N^{(1)} + 1 \right) \pm \left(\frac{|I_9^{(2)}|}{T_2^{(2)} n_9^{(2)}} + \frac{|I_9^{(3)}|}{T_2^{(3)} n_9^{(3)}} \right) \right] \ . \tag{24}
$$

The mass spectrum in Eq. (23) corresponds to the one obtained by means of the usual GSO projection, while the spectrum in Eq. (24) corresponds to the one with the opposite GSO projection which keeps the states written in Eq.(21).

The previous analysis can be easily extended to the R sector. In this case the mass spectrum, in the field theory limit, is given by:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[|\nu_1| a^\dagger a + |\nu_1| b_1^\dagger b_1 \right] \ . \tag{25}$$

The lowest state, the vacuum, is a four-dimensional massless spinor because the presence of the magnetization in the first torus and the Neumann-Dirichlet boundary conditions on the other two tori allow fermionic zero modes only along the four non-compact directions. The first excited level is obtained by applying on this vacuum the fermionic creation operator $b_1^\dagger$. Two towers of Kaluza-Klein states are generated by acting on these two fermionic states with the bosonic creation operators:

$$(a^\dagger)^m |0\rangle \quad ; \quad (a^\dagger)^m b_1^\dagger |0\rangle \quad ; \quad m = 0, 1 \ldots \tag{26}$$

These two towers differ by the number of fermionic oscillators, having respectively an even and an odd number of such operators and their masses are:

$$M_1^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \frac{|I_{59}^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} 2N^{(1)} \qquad ; \qquad M_2^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \frac{|I_{59}^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} \left(2N^{(1)} + 2 \right) \tag{27}$$

where we have used Eq.(5).

In order to have a consistent string model the GSO projection has to be imposed. The standard GSO projection selects, in the NS sector, states with an odd number of fermionic oscillators, while in the R sector fixes the chirality of the vacuum. In particular, the vacuum becomes a chiral massless state. The remaining states of the two towers have in pair the same mass but opposite chirality. They can be combined together to form a single tower of Kaluza-Klein Dirac fermions. Supersymmetry is achieved if the following condition is imposed:

$$|\nu_1| = |\nu_2^{(9)}| - |\nu_3^{(9)}| \ . \tag{28}$$

In this case the states in Eq. (20) have, respectively, the following masses:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left[|\nu_1| \, (m+1) \right] \quad ; \quad M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} |\nu_1| m \tag{29}$$

which coincide with the ones of the R sector in Eq. (26).

One gets the same conclusion by imposing, instead of the condition in Eq. (28), the following one:

$$|\nu_1| = |\nu_3^{(9)}| - |\nu_2^{(9)}| \ . \tag{30}$$

The masses of the states in Eq. (29) are just exchanged.

It is also interesting to notice that, considering both in the NS and R-sector the opposite GSO condition, we have Bose-Fermi degeneracy if the following condition is imposed:

$$|\nu_1| = |\nu_3^{(9)}| + |\nu_2^{(9)}| \ . \tag{31}$$

In the untwisted sector of the theory the opposite GSO projection is never taken in consideration because, in absence of magnetic fluxes, it does not project out the tachyon and, therefore, leads to inconsistent string models. In the unmagnetized twisted D5/D9 open string sector, instead, the tachyon is not present in the spectrum and both GSO projections give a supersymmetric spectrum. Therefore, from this point of view both projections are allowed. This is an interesting aspect of the D5/D9 twisted sector and should be further analyzed.

So far we have derived the spectrum of the open strings D5/D9 that one obtains from string theory in the field theory limit. Can the same spectrum be obtained directly from a field theoretical calculation? In the case of the strings D9/D9 it has been shown [10, 12, 20] that it follows from the low-energy effective action for the massless open strings D9/D9 that is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills. Therefore, in this case, we expect that the spectrum obtained above from string theory can also be obtained from the low-energy effective Lagrangian for the massless D5/D9 strings. That is the reason why we are going now to construct this Lagrangian.

3 Lagrangians for the open strings 99, 55 and 59

In this section we construct the six-dimensional Lagrangian describing the massless open strings attached to a stack of D9 and a stack of D5 branes interacting with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and the D9 branes. As shown in Appendix D, we start from the four-dimensional Lagrangian describing the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet interacting with the gauge multiplets and we uplift it to six dimensions by explicitly keeping the original $SU(2)$ R-symmetry of the four-dimensional Lagrangian. This may seem strange at the first sight because the six-dimensional Lagrangian has only an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, but string calculations, which will be discussed later, confirm that such a symmetry is indeed present in six dimensions. It turns out that one can keep an $SU(2)$ symmetry provided that some of the fields as the gaugino that are $SU(2)$ doublets satisfy some constraints.

In this way we get a Lagrangian in which all fields, including those living on the D9 branes, are six dimensional. On the other hand, the Lagrangian so constructed still contains the auxiliary fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes. In order to eliminate them we have to consider the Lagrangian of the open strings D5/D9 together with that of the open strings D9/D9. The elimination of the auxiliary fields living on the D9 branes generates, in the Lagrangian for the strings 59, a four-scalar interaction involving the twisted scalar of the hypermultiplet and a trilinear term containing two twisted scalar fields and the field strength of the gauge field living on the D9 branes. After eliminating the auxiliary fields, we can finally uplift to ten dimensions that part of the Lagrangian containing the gauge multiplet on the D9 branes.

The six-dimensional Lagrangian of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge multiplet living on a stack of D5 branes is derived in Appendix C and is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{55} \quad = \quad & 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}F^{(5)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}F^{(5)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}^{(5)}_{c+5})^2-\frac{i}{2}\bar\Lambda^{(5)}_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D^{(5)}_{\hat\mu}(\Lambda^i)^{(5)}\Bigg] \\
& + 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-\left(D^{(5)}_{\hat\mu}(Z^{(5)})^i\right)^{\dagger}\left(D^{(5)}_{\hat\mu}(Z^{(5)})^i\right)+g_5\bar Z^{(5)}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}^{(5)}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j(Z^{(5)})^j \\
& - g_5 Z^{(5)}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}^{(5)}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j(\bar Z^{(5)})^j - i\bar\Psi^{(5)}\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D^{(5)}_{\hat\mu}\Psi^{(5)} \\
& + i\sqrt{2}g_5 b\left([\bar\Psi^{(5)},Z^j_{(5)}]\epsilon_{ij}(\Lambda^{(5)})^i-\bar\Lambda^{(5)}_i[\Psi^{(5)},\bar Z^{(5)}_j]\epsilon^{ij}\right)\Bigg] \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

where $b=\pm1$, the indices i,j label the $SU(2)$ symmetry and are lowered and raised by means of the ϵ tensor as described in Appendix A. The trace is over the fundamental matrices of the group $U(N_5)$. In the previous Lagrangian the six-dimensional gaugino is described by an $SU(2)$ doublet Λ^i subject, in our notation, to the symplectic Majorana condition [21]

$$B_6\Lambda^i = ac\epsilon_{ij}(\Lambda^j)^* \qquad (33)$$

where $a=\pm1$ and c is a phase factor. B_6 is the operator which relates the six-dimensional Dirac-matrices $\Gamma_{(6)}$ with their complex cojugates [22]. More details on the properties of such operator are given in appendix A and its relation with the $\Gamma_{(6)}$'s is: $B_6 = c\Gamma^2_{(6)}\Gamma^4_{(6)}$. The constraint in Eq. (33) reduces by one half the number of independent fermions giving, as expected, the correct number of degrees of freedom for a gaugino.

Before proceeding further, let us discuss the three factors a,c,b equal to ±1 that appear in the Lagrangian (32) and in the constraint for the gaugino in Eq. (137). The six-dimensional fermions $\Lambda^{(5)}$ and $\Psi^{(5)}$ come from a Weyl-Majorana ten-dimensional spinor that satisfies Eq. (99) and this implies that they satisfy Eqs. (103). As a consequence, we get a factor of b in the last two terms of Eq. (32). In the string calculations we have taken the ten-dimensional spinor to be anti-chiral ($b=1$), but here in the field-theoretical formulation we leave b to be arbitrary. The two other factors c and a appear respectively in the definition of B_6 in Eq. (101) and in Eq. (102). For the sake of simplicity, we will take them to be equal to $a=c=1$.

The six-dimensional Lagrangian in Eq. (32) is obtained by dimensional reduction from $\mathcal{N}=1$ super Yang-Mills in ten dimension and should be invariant under the R-symmetry group $SO(4) \equiv SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Since, however, only one of the two $SU(2)$ is maintained in the Lagrangian for the strings D5/D9, we write it in a form that shows only a manifest invariance under this $SU(2)$.

In Appendix D we also construct the six-dimensional Lagrangian corresponding to the uplift of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet from four to six dimension. It is given in Eq. (164). When we include both the gauge theory living on the D5 and that on the D9 branes we get the following six-dimensional Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{59} \;=\;& \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)^u{}_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a{}_u - g_5 \bar{w}^u_{i\,a}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(5)}_{c+5})^a{}_b w^{jb}_{\;\;u} \\ & - i\bar{\mu}^u{}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a{}_u + \sqrt{2}g_5 ib\left[\bar{\mu}^u{}_a(\Lambda^{(5)i})^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b{}_u + (\bar{w}_i)^u{}_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}^{(5)}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b{}_u\right] \\ & + \tilde{g}_9\bar{w}^u_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^v{}_u w^{ja}_{\;\;v} \\ & - \sqrt{2}\tilde{g}_9 ib\left[\bar{\mu}^u{}_a(\hat{\Lambda}^i_{(9)})^v{}_u\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^a{}_v + (\bar{w}_i)^u{}_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\hat{\Lambda}}^{(9)}_j)^v{}_u\mu^a{}_v\right] \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\bar{w}_j = (w^j)^\dagger$ and $\tilde{g}_9$ is the gauge coupling constant of the gauge theory living on the D9 branes properly rescaled with the volume factors in order to have the same dimension as g_5:

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{g}_9^2} = \frac{1}{g_9^2}\;(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2\;T_2^{(2)}\;T_2^{(3)} \tag{35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\mu w^i)^a_u &= \partial_\mu w^{ia}_u + ig_5(A^{(5)}_\mu)^a{}_b w^{ib}_u - i\tilde{g}_9(\hat{A}^{(9)}_\mu)^v{}_u w^{ia}_v \\ (D_\mu \bar{w}_i)^u_a &= \partial_\mu \bar{w}^u_{ia} - ig_5(A^{(5)}_\mu)^b{}_a \bar{w}^u_{ib} + i\tilde{g}_9(\hat{A}^{(9)}_\mu)^u{}_v \bar{w}^v_{ia} \\ (D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a_u &= \partial_{\hat{\mu}}\mu^a_u + ig_5(A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b\mu^b_u - i\tilde{g}_9(\hat{A}^{(9)}_{\hat{\mu}})^v{}_u\mu^a_v\;. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Here, $T_2^{(2,3)}$ are the imaginary part of the Kähler moduli of the two tori associated to the directions $6\ldots9$. We have put a hat on the fields living on the D9 to remember that they are six-dimensional. The previous Lagrangian has a manifest $SU(2)$ symmetry that is the remnant of the $SU(2)$ R-symmetry of the Lagrangian of the hypermultiplet in four dimensions. We are able to keep it in six dimensions imposing the constraint in Eq. (33) that eliminates the redundant components of the gaugino.

The Lagrangian in Eq. (34) has been obtained by uplifting to six dimensions a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Lagrangian. Although the original Lagrangian was supersymmetric, it is not clear that the uplifted Lagrangian is still supersymmetric as the original one. In Sect. 4 we show, with an explicit calculation, that indeed the uplifted Lagrangian has the same amount of conserved supersymmetry charges as the original four-dimensional one.

Finally, we have also the six-dimensional Lagrangian of the fields living on the D9 branes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{99} &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}\hat{F}^{(9)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}\hat{F}^{(9)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\Big((\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^2 + i\tilde{g}_9\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5}\eta_{(c+5)mn}[\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n]\Big) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=6}^{9} D_{\hat\mu}\hat{A}^{(9)}_m D_{\hat\mu}\hat{A}^{(9)}_m - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\hat{\Lambda}}^{(9)}_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}(\hat{\Lambda}^{(9)})^i - i\bar{\Psi}^{(9)}\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}\Psi^{(9)} \\
&\quad + i\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}_9 b\left([\bar{\hat{\Psi}}^{(9)},\hat{Z}^{(9)\,j}]\epsilon_{ij}(\hat{\Lambda}^{(9)})^i - \bar{\hat{\Lambda}}^{(9)}_i[\hat{\Psi}^{(9)},\bar{\hat{Z}}^{(9)}_j]\epsilon^{ij}\right)\Bigg] \ . \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

The trace is over the fundamental matrices of the group $U(N_9)$ and η^{c+5}_{mn} are the 't Hooft symbols defined in Eq. (122) [23].

It is important to stress that each of the three previous Lagrangians is supersymmetric independently from the others. In particular, the Lagrangians of the open strings 55 and 99 are invariant under 16 supercharges, while that of the strings 59 is invariant under 8 supercharges. They still contain the auxiliary fields of the gauge multiplets. In the following we will eliminate them by using their equation of motion obtaining a complete Lagrangian for the strings 59 and a Lagrangian for the strings 99 that can be uplifted from six to ten dimensions.

Let us consider the terms in Eqs. (34) and (37) that contain the auxiliary field $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}$. They are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} &= i\tilde{g}_9\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^u{}_v\eta_{(c+5)mn}([\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n])^v{}_u + \sum_{c=1}^{3}(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^u{}_v(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^v{}_u \\
&\quad + \tilde{g}_9\,\bar{w}^u_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5}\right)^v{}_u w^{aj}_v \ . \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

The equation of motion for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}$ is given by:

$$(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(9)}_{c+5})^u{}_v = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\tilde{g}_9\bar{w}^u_{ia}(\tau^c)^i{}_j w^{aj}_v - i\tilde{g}_9\eta_{(c+5)mn}\left([\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n]\right)^u{}_v\right) \qquad (39)$$

which, inserted back in Eq. (38), yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{4}\tilde{g}_9^2\sum_{c=1}^{3}\eta_{(c+5)mn}\eta_{(c+5)pq}\left([\hat{A}^{(9)}_p,\hat{A}^{(9)}_q]\right)^u{}_v\left([\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n]\right)^v{}_u \\
&\quad -\frac{i}{2}\tilde{g}_9^2\,\bar{w}^u_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j\eta_{(c+5)mn}\left([\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n]\right)^v{}_u w^{aj}_v \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{g}_9^2\sum_{c=1}^{3}\bar{w}^u_{ib}(\tau^c)^i{}_j w^{aj}_v\bar{w}^v_{hb}(\tau^c)^h{}_k w^{bk}_u \ . \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

The last two terms of the previous equation go into the Lagrangian of the strings 59 that becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{59} &= \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat\mu}\bar{w}_i)^u_a(D^{\hat\mu}w_j)^a_u - g_5\bar{w}^u_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\mathcal{D}^{(5)}_{c+2})^a{}_b w^{bj}_u \\
&- i\bar{\mu}^u_a\Gamma^{\hat\mu}(D_{\hat\mu}\mu)^a_u + \sqrt{2}g_5 ib\left[\bar{\mu}^u_a(\Lambda^i_5)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b_u + (\bar{w}_i)^u_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}^5_j)^a{}_b(\mu)^b_u\right] \\
&- \frac{1}{4}g_5^2\sum_{c=1}^{3}\bar{w}^u_{ia}(\tau^c)^i{}_j w^{aj}_v\bar{w}^v_{hb}(\tau^c)^h{}_k w^{bk}_u \\
&- \sqrt{2}g_9 ib\left[\bar{\mu}^u_a(\Lambda^i_{(9)})^v{}_u\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^a{}_v + (\bar{w}_i)^u_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}^{(9)}_j)^v{}_u(\mu)^a_v\right] \\
&- \frac{i}{2}\tilde{g}_9\bar{w}^u_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j\eta_{(c+5)mn}\left([\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n]\right)^v{}_u w^{aj}_v\ . \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

This Lagrangian is six-dimensional and also the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes are six-dimensional fields. In the Lagrangian for the strings 55 and the one for the strings 59 there is still an auxiliary field living on the world-volume of the D5 branes. By eliminating it one gets additional quartic terms involving the fields w and Z.

The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (40) goes instead together with the others terms in Eq. (37) to give:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{99} = \; & 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}\hat{F}^{(9)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}\hat{F}^{(9)}_{\hat\mu\hat\nu} + \frac{\tilde{g}_9^2}{4}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\eta_{(c+5)mn}\eta_{(c+5)pq}[\hat{A}^{(9)}_m,\hat{A}^{(9)}_n][\hat{A}^{(9)}_p,\hat{A}^{(9)}_q] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=6}^{9}D_{\hat\mu}\hat{A}^{(9)}_m D_{\hat\mu}\hat{A}^{(9)}_m - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\hat{\Lambda}}^{(9)}_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}(\hat{\Lambda}^{(9)})^i - i\bar{\Psi}^{(9)}\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}\Psi^{(9)} \\
& + i\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}_9 b\left([\bar{\Psi}^{(9)},(Z^{(9)})^j]\epsilon_{ij}(\Lambda^{(9)})^i - \bar{\Lambda}^{(9)}_i[\Psi^{(9)},\bar{Z}^{(9)}_j]\epsilon^{ij}\right)\Bigg]\ . \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

This Lagrangian can be uplifted from six to ten dimensions. This is easy to perform for the purely bosonic part of the action, while it requires some attention for the fermionic part. The point is that the six-dimensional gaugino is an SU(2) doublet and in $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM in ten dimensions that symmetry is lacking. The presence of such a doublet is a consequence of the ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl condition, as it is explained in Appendix C. In this Appendix it is also shown that the uplifting from six to ten dimensions yields $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM:

$$\mathcal{L}_{99} = 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{MN}F^{MN} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^M D_M\lambda\right]\ . \qquad (43)$$

In Appendices C and D the Lagrangians (32, 34, 42) have been obtained by uplifting four-dimensional supersymmetric Lagrangians to six dimensions. While the uplift to ten dimensions for the strings D9/D9 is straightforward and gives rise to a Lagrangian that is supersymmetric in ten dimensions, this is not quite so for the strings D5/D9. The reason is that, while the fields corresponding to the open strings D5/D9 and D5/D5 are six-dimensional, those living on the D9 branes are ten-dimensional. In order to get an intuition on how this is going to work, in Sect. 5 we will go back to string theory that treats the fields living on the D9 branes as ten-dimensional, and we compute various terms of the D5/D9 action, checking, on the one hand, the previously constructed Lagrangian and seeing, on the other hand, how the ten-dimensional fields appear in it.

4 Supersymmetry invariance of the action for the strings D5/D9

The six-dimensional Lagrangian in Eq. (34), describing the interaction of the massless open strings stretched between the D9 and the D5-branes (twisted-matter) with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes, has been obtained from the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangian of the hypermultiplet. It is expected to preserve $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in six dimensions. The uplifting procedure, however, in general does not generate all the terms of the six-dimensional theory; for example it does not give terms depending on the derivative with respect to the compact dimensions. The requirement of the gauge invariance allows one to obtain many of the missing terms but it does not ensure, in general, that the uplifted action is complete. The explicit proof of the invariance of the six-dimensional uplifted theory under $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry transformations, which we are going now to discuss, is a strong check that the uplifted Lagrangian is correct.

The six-dimensional Lagrangians for the massless D5/D5 and D9/D9 open strings contain a gauge multiplet and a hypermultiplet, transforming in the adjoint representation of the gauge group, and are invariant under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, while that of the twisted matter coupled to the two previous gauge multiplets preserves only half of the previous supersymmetry and is therefore only invariant under $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. For the sake of simplicity, in the analysis of this section we neglect the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes, that, however, can be trivially included. The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry transformations of the gauge multiplet can be found from the requirement that they leave invariant the first line of Eq. (32) corresponding to the Lagrangian of a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge multiplet in six dimensions [24] given by:

$$\mathcal{S}_g = 2 \int d^6x \mathrm{Tr} \left[-\frac{1}{4} F^2_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^{3} \mathcal{D}^2_c - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}} \Lambda^i \right] \ . \tag{44}$$

It is easy to see that the following supersymmetry transformations leave the previous action invariant:

$$\delta A^{\hat\mu} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\epsilon_i \Gamma^{\hat\mu}\Lambda^i - \bar\Lambda_i \Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^i\right) \quad ; \quad \delta\Lambda^i = \frac{1}{2}F_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}\Gamma^{\hat\mu\hat\nu}\epsilon^i + i\mathcal{D}^i{}_j\epsilon^j$$
$$\delta\mathcal{D}^c = \frac{1}{2}(\tau^c)^i{}_j\left(D_{\hat\mu}\bar\Lambda_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^j + \bar\epsilon_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}\Lambda^j\right) \tag{45}$$

where $\Gamma^{\hat\mu\hat\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma^{\hat\mu},\, \Gamma^{\hat\nu}]$ and $\mathcal{D}^i{}_j \equiv \mathcal{D}^c(\tau^c)^i{}_j$. The parameters of the supersymmetry transformations ϵ^i are two six-dimensional spinors having, for consistency with Eqs. (177), the same chirality as the gaugino and, as the gaugino, they have to satisfy Eq. (104). More details about the properties satisfied by the ϵ^i's can be found in Appendix F. We are not going to show here explicitly that the action in Eq. (44) is invariant under the transformations in Eq. (177), but we refer to Ref. [24] where the proof has been given. For more details see also Sect. 9 of Ref. [25]. There is, however, a slight difference with the action and the transformations given in Ref. [24], namely the presence of the auxiliary fields $\mathcal{D}^c$. In Appendix F we show that the extra terms coming from the presence of the auxiliary fields, also give rise to a total derivative that leave the action invariant.

Having determined the supersymmetry transformations of the fields of the gauge multiplet, we are going now to study the supersymmetry invariance of the Lagrangian for the twisted strings D5/D9 given in Eq. (34) without including, for the sake of simplicity, the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes. The Lagrangian is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{59} \;=\; & \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat\mu}\bar w_i)_a(D^{\hat\mu}w_j)^a - i\bar\mu_a\Gamma^{\hat\mu}(D_{\hat\mu}\mu)^a - g\bar w_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{c+2})^a{}_b w^{jb} \\ & + \sqrt{2}gib\left[\bar\mu_a(\hat\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b + (\bar w_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\hat\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right] \;.\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

In Appendix F we show that it transforms as a total derivative under the action of the transformations in Eq. (177) that act on the fields of the gauge multiplet, together with the following supersymmetry transformations acting on the twisted fields:

$$\delta w^{ia} = -\sqrt{2}\,b\,\epsilon^{ij}\bar\epsilon_j\mu^a \quad ; \quad \delta\mu^a = -i\,\sqrt{2}\,b\,\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D_{\hat\mu}w^j)^a. \tag{47}$$

These transformations are similar to the ones involving the hypermultiplets in Ref. [26] with the main difference that they preserve $\mathcal{N}=1$ in six dimensions instead of $\mathcal{N}=2$ in five dimensions. The doubling of the susy parameters, constrained by Eq. (181), is necessary to manifestly keep the SU(2) invariance of the action. The proof of the supersymmetric invariance of the twisted Lagrangian is long and tedious. The details are again given in Appendix F. Here we quote just the final result of the supersymmetry transformations given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}_{59} \;=\; & \partial_{\hat\mu}\Big\{-\sqrt{2}\,b\,\bar w_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar\epsilon_i[\Gamma^{\hat\mu},\, \Gamma^{\hat\nu}](D_{\hat\nu}\mu)^a + \sqrt{2}\,b\,\bar\mu_a\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D^{\hat\mu}w^j)^a \\ & + g\,\bar w_{ja}\epsilon^{jk}\left(\bar\epsilon_k\Gamma^{\hat\mu}(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b + (\bar\Lambda_k)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^i\right)\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}\Big\} \;.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

In conclusion, we have shown that the Lagrangian for the open strings D5/D9 is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations given in Eqs. (45) and (47).

5 Vertex operators for open strings 99, 55 and 59

In this section we write the vertex operators of the massless open string states in the system D5/D9. We have three kinds of open strings: those with the two end-points attached to a system of N_9 parallel D9 branes, those with the two end-points attached to a system of N_5 parallel D5 branes and the mixed open strings having one end-point attached to a D9 brane and the other end-point attached to a D5 brane. All the following vertices are in a one-to-one correspondence with those written in Ref [27] due to the T-duality between the system D9/D5 and the system D3/D(-1) discussed in that paper. The main difference consists in the momentum dependence in all sectors of our vertices and in the $SU(2)$ structure that is manifest in the expression of the string vertex operators.

5.1 $D9/D9$ open strings

The massless fields living on the system of N_9 $D9$ branes consist in a gauge field $(A_M^{(9)})^u_v(x^{\hat{\mu}}, y^m)$ and a ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinor with negative chirality [3] $(\Lambda_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}^{(9)})^u_v(x^{\hat{\mu}}, y^m)$. All the fields carry a ten-dimensional momentum with $k_M k^M = 0$ and their vertex operators are:

$$V_{A^{(9)}}^{(-1)}(z) = ig_9\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}\,(A_M^{(9)})^u_v(k_M)\; e^{-\phi(z)}\;\psi^M(z)\; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_M X^M(z)} \tag{49}$$

for the gauge field in the picture (-1) and

$$V_{A^{(9)}}^{(0)}(z) = ig_9\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}(A_M^{(9)})^u_v(k)\left[\partial_z X^M(z) + i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_N\psi^N(z)\,\psi^M(z)\right] \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_M X^M} \tag{50}$$

in the picture 0. For both of them the trasversality condition $k_M A^M = 0$ holds. Here $M, N = 0, \ldots 9$; $\dot{\mathcal{A}} = 1, \ldots 16$ and $u, v = 1, \ldots N_9$ are the indices of the gauge group $U(N_9)$ living on the set of the N_9 $D9$ branes.

The vertex for the gaugino is:

$$V_{\Lambda^{(9)}}^{(-1/2)}(z) = g_9(\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}}\,(\Lambda_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}^{(9)})^u_v(k_M)\; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)}\; S^{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}(z)\; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}\;k_M X^M(z)}\;. \tag{51}$$

The presence of the $D5$ branes breaks the original ten-dimensional Lorentz group $SO(1,9)$ into $SO(1,5)\otimes SO(4)$. Hence, it is convenient to split the ten-dimensional Weyl index $\dot{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows

$$S^{\dot{\mathcal{A}}} = (S^{\hat{A}}S^{\dot{\alpha}}, S_{\hat{A}}S_{\alpha}) \tag{52}$$

---

[3] This is a consequence of the fact that we have chosen the GSO projection in the ten-dimensional IIB string theory such as to keep spinors with negative chirality.

where the upper (lower) index $\hat{A}$ is the one of a Weyl spinor in six dimensions with positive (negative) chirality and similarly α ($\dot{\alpha}$) is the index of a four-dimensional Weyl spinor with positive (negative) chirality. Introducing the decomposition (52) in the vertex in Eq. (51), one gets:

$$V^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{\Lambda^{(9)}_{\hat{A}\dot{\alpha}}}(z) = \sqrt{2} g_9 (\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}} \, (\Lambda^{(9)}_{\hat{A}\dot{\alpha}})^u_v(k_M) \; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)} \; S^{\hat{A}}(z) S^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_M X^M(z)} \tag{53}$$

The latter expression has an index $\dot{\alpha}$ that for simplicity, in the previous section, we have denoted by the indices i and j, and that corresponds to the $SU(2)$ symmetry previously discussed. In string theory the role of the internal symmetry is thus played by one of the two $SU(2)$ factors of the $SO(4)$ group associated to the directions 6. . . 9.

The normalization factor of the vertex operator in Eq. (53) has been determined by requiring that the three-point function computed in string theory and involving two gauginos and a gauge field agrees with the corresponding coupling in field theory. In particular, the factor $\sqrt{2}$ in Eq. (53) is a direct consequence of the constraint for the gaugino field in Eq. (33).

In principle one should also introduce a vertex for the field $\bar{\Lambda}_i$ besides the one for Λ^i, but in this case this is not necessary because the two fields are not independent being related by the symplectic Majorana condition.

The last vertex coming from the decomposition of the ten-dimensional spinor is:

$$V^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{\Psi^{(9)\hat{A}\alpha}}(z) = \sqrt{2} g_9 (\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}} \, (\Psi^{\hat{A}\alpha})^u_v(k_M) \; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)} \; S_{\hat{A}}(z) S_{\alpha}(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_M X^M(z)} \tag{54}$$

which again contains an $SU(2)$-doublet corresponding to the $SU(2)$ that is a symmetry of the Lagrangian of the open strings D5/D5, but not of the Lagrangian of the open strings D5/D9. In the six-dimensional Lagrangian of the open strings D5/D5 in Eq. (32) such a doublet is, however, missing because this $SU(2)$ symmetry is not manifestly realized and we have a field Ψ instead of a doublet. It turns out that the vertex operator corresponding to this field is given in Eq. (54) where the six-dimensional spinor is taken to be:

$$\Psi^{\hat{A}\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{\hat{A}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55}$$

Notice that the ten-dimensional spinor index is dimensionally reduced, but the four-momentum of the vertices is still ten-dimensional.

5.2 $D5/D5$ open strings

The vertex operators corresponding to open strings of the gauge multiplet living on the $D5$ branes are obtained through a trivial dimensional reduction from those living on a $D9$ brane. They are a gauge field $(A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a_b(x^{\hat{\mu}})$ (with $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} = 0, \ldots 5$ and a, b running over the number of $D5$ branes, namely $a, b = 1, \ldots N_5$), four real scalars

$(\phi_m^{(5)})_b^a(x^{\hat{\mu}})$, a couple of six-dimensional Weyl spinors $(\Lambda^{(5)\hat{A}\dot{\alpha}})_b^a(x^{\hat{\mu}})$ with positive six-dimensional chirality and a couple of six-dimensional Weyl spinors $(\Psi^{(5)}_{\hat{A}\alpha})_b^{\;a}(x^{\hat{\mu}})$ with negative six-dimensional chirality. The components of the latter spinor are given as in Eq. (55).

The corresponding vertex operators, carrying a six-dimensional momentum with $k^2 = 0$, are:

$$V^{(-1)}_{A^{(5)}}(z) = i(2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} g_5 \, (A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a_b(k_{\hat{\nu}}) \; e^{-\phi(z)} \; \psi^{\hat{\mu}}(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(z)} \tag{56}$$

for the gauge field in picture (-1),

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(0)}_{A^{(5)}}(z) \;&=\; i(2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} g_5 \, (A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a_b(k) \; \Big[\partial_z X^{\hat{\mu}}(z) + i(2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{\hat{\nu}} \psi^{\hat{\nu}}(z) \, \psi^{\hat{\mu}}(z)\Big] \\ &\times \;\; \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

for the gauge field in the picture 0, and for both of them the transversality condition $k_{\hat{\mu}} A^{(5)\hat{\mu}} = 0$ holds.

For the scalars in the picture (-1) we have:

$$V^{(-1)}_{\phi^{(5)}_m} = i g_5 (2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} \, (\phi^{(5)}_m)^a_b(k_{\hat{\nu}}) \; e^{-\phi(z)} \; \psi^m(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}} \tag{58}$$

while for the scalars in the picture 0 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(0)}_{\phi^{(5)}_m} \;&=\; i g_5 (2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} (\phi^{(5)}_m)^a_b(k_{\hat{\nu}}) \; \Big[\partial_z X^m(z) + i(2\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{\hat{\nu}} \psi^{\hat{\nu}}(z), \psi^m(z)\Big] \\ &\times \;\; \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(z)}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The vertex for the gaugino with negative six-dimensional chirality is:

$$V_{\Lambda^{(5)}_{\hat{A}\dot{\alpha}}}(z) = \sqrt{2} g_5 (\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}} \, (\Lambda^{(5)}_{\hat{A}\dot{\alpha}})^a_b(k_{\hat{\mu}}) \; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)} \; S^{\hat{A}}(z) S^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}} \tag{60}$$

and for $\Psi^{(5)}$, which has the same $SU(2)$ structure given in Eq. (55), we have:

$$V_{\Psi^{(5)\hat{A}\alpha}} = \sqrt{2} g_5 (\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}} \, ((\Psi^{(5)})^{\hat{A}\alpha})^a_b(k_{\hat{\mu}}) \; e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)} \; S_{\hat{A}}(z) S_{\alpha}(z) \; e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} \; k_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(z)}. \tag{61}$$

Finally, we add also the vertex operator of the auxiliary fields whose introduction is convenient for computing only the cubic couplings instead of the more difficult quartic ones:

$$V^{(5)}_{\mathcal{D}_{c+5}} = i(\pi\alpha') g_5 (\mathcal{D}^{(5)}_{c+5})^a_b \eta^{c+5}_{mtn} \psi^m \psi^n e^{-\phi(z)} e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_{\hat{\mu}} X^{\hat{\mu}}(z)} \tag{62}$$

where $c = 1, 2, 3$; $m, n = 6, 7, 8, 9$.

5.3 $D9/D5$ and $D5/D9$ open strings

In the system $D5/D9$, along the four directions of the last two tori, there are Ramond (Neveu-Schwarz) boundary conditions in the NS (R) sector. This means that the massless state of the NS sector is an $SO(4)$ spinor. Due to the GSO projection it is a chiral spinor, $w_{\dot\alpha}$. In the R sector, the massless state is a $SO(6)$ spinor that, because again of the GSO projection, is a chiral spinor in six dimensions: the four non-compact directions and the two compact ones belonging to the first torus. In conclusion, the massless $D9/D5$ sector contains a doublet of scalars $(w_{\dot\alpha})^a_u(x)$ under $SU(2)$ and a six-dimensional Weyl spinor $(\mu^A)^a_u(x)$.

Their vertex operators are [27]:

$$\begin{aligned} V_w^{(-1)}(z) &= g_5(\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}}\,(w_{\dot\alpha})^a_u(k)\;\;\Delta(z)S^{\dot\alpha}(z)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(z)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(z)} \\ V_\mu^{(-\frac{1}{2})}(z) &= g_5(\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}}\,(\mu^{\hat A})^a_u(k)\;\;\Delta(z)S_{\hat A}(z)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where Δ is the twist operator with conformal weight 1/4 which changes the boundary conditions of the four compact coordinates from Neumann to Dirichlet. $k_{\hat\mu}$ is the six-dimensional momentum. The vertex operators in Eq. (63) describe the strings D9/D5. The strings D5/D9 are described instead by:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\bar w}^{(-1)}(z) &= g_5(\pi\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}}\,(\bar w_{\dot\alpha})^u_a(k)\;\;\bar\Delta(z)S^{\dot\alpha}(z)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(z)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(z)} \\ V_{\bar\mu}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}(z) &= g_5(\pi\alpha')^{\frac{3}{4}}\,(\bar\mu^{\hat A})^u_a(k)\;\;\bar\Delta(z)S_{\hat A}(z)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\phi/2}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

with $\Delta(z)$ replaced by the anti-twist $\bar\Delta(z)$ operator, corresponding to DN (instead of ND) boundary conditions along the directions orthogonal to the world-sheet of the D5 brane. The upper index in brackets of each vertex denotes, as usual, the corresponding picture. All previous states transform according to the bifundamental of the group $U(N_9) \times U(N_5)$.

6 Computing string amplitudes

Having identified the massless states of the three open string sectors and their vertex operators, we can now perform string disk calculations in order to determine the various terms of their low-energy Lagrangian. This approach is complementary to that presented in Sect. [3], where we have constructed the supersymmetric low-energy Lagrangians in six dimensions by uplifting to six dimensions the four-dimensional ones.

The calculation of various three-point couplings provides an additional check of the six-dimensional Lagrangians already derived in Sect.[3]. An example of this is the coupling of two adjoint fermions and a gauge boson living on the D5 branes. This coupling has been computed in Eq. (208) and agrees with the one in Eq. (32). Other three-point couplings can also be easily computed and one can see that they reproduce the various trilinear couplings of the Lagrangian in Eq. (32). In particular, one can use the vertex operator of the auxiliary fields to determine also their couplings with the propagating fields. In this way all trilinear couplings can be computed. The quartic terms present in the Lagrangian (32) can be obtained from the cubic one by gauge invariance. In this way one can derive the three six-dimensional Lagrangians for the strings D5/D5, D9/D9 and D5/D9.

On the other hand, string theory treats the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes as ten-dimensional and therefore one can determine their couplings with the twisted fields living on the D5 branes. In this way one can obtain a six-dimensional Lagrangian for the massless open strings D5/D9 interacting with the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes, where the latter fields are ten-dimensional and not six-dimensional as in Eq. (34).

Examples are provided by the three-point amplitudes involving a twisted scalar, a twisted fermion and a D5/D9 or D5/D5 gaugino. These are computed in Appendix G. Particularly interesting is the three-point amplitude involving two twisted scalar fields w and $\bar{w}$ and a gauge boson living on the D9 branes, given by:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A_M^{(9)} w} = C_0 \int \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}{dV} \langle 0 | V_{\bar{w}}^{(-1)}(x_1)\, V^{(0)}_{A_M^{(9)}}(x_2)\, V_w^{(-1)}(x_3) | 0 \rangle \tag{65}$$

where C_0 is the normalization of the disk which is related to the five dimensional gauge coupling g_5 through the relation $C_0 = g_5^{-2}(\pi\alpha')^{-2}$ and dV is the projective invariant Möbius volume. This amplitude, which can be read from Eq.(227), is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A^{(9)} w} \quad = \quad & g_9\, (\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}})^v_a(p_{\hat{\mu}},\, y_0)(w_{\dot{\beta}})^a_u(q_{\hat{\mu}},\, y_0) \Big\{ \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (A^{(9)}_{\hat{\mu}})^u_v(k_{\hat{m}},\, y_0)(p^{\hat{\mu}} - q^{\hat{\mu}}) \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \left((A^{(9)}_m)^u_v(k_{\hat{m}},\, y_0) k_n - (A^{(9)}_n)^u_v(k_{\hat{m}},\, y_0) k_m \right) (\bar{\sigma}^{nm})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where y_0 is the position of the $D5$-branes in the last two tori. The first term of the previous equation reproduces the coupling of the twisted scalars with the D9 gauge fields that can be read from the first term of the Eq. (41). Having computed a three point coupling implies that the second term contains only the derivative part of the gauge field strength living on the D9 branes. It can be easily made gauge invariant by adding the term with the commutator obtaining:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A^{(9)} w}_{(2)} = -\frac{i}{2} (\bar{w}_i)^v_a(p_{\hat{\mu}},\, y_0)(w^j)^a_u(q_{\hat{\mu}},\, y_0)(F_{mn})^u{}_v(k,\, y_0) \sum_{c=1}^{3} \eta_{c+5}{}^{mn} (\tau^c)^i{}_j \tag{67}$$

where the identity

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^i{}_j = i \sum_{c=1}^{3} \eta_{c+5}{}^{mn} (\tau^c)^i{}_j \tag{68}$$

has been used and the indices $(\dot{\alpha},\, \dot{\beta}) \equiv (i,\, j)$ have been redefined. The quantities η's are again the 't Hooft symbols, previously introduced.

The amplitude in Eq. (67) is the string result of the last term in the Lagrangian (41). It differs from the corresponding one obtained in field theory because it depends on the whole field strength of the internal components of the gauge field instead of only the commutator. Furthermore, the field strength of the D9 gauge field is ten-dimensional and is computed at the point y_0 of the four-dimensional space where the D5 branes are located.

In conclusion, we have shown that, by performing string disk calculations, we can uplift the six-dimensional Lagrangian in (34) to contain the ten-dimensional fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes (and not just their reduction to six dimensions as obtained in the field theoretical approach). In practice, one obtains the same Lagrangian as in Eq. (41), where now all the ten-dimensional fields are computed at y_0 with the last term in Eq. (41) that becomes:

$$-\frac{i}{2}\bar{w}^{u}_{ia}(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{i}{}_{j}(F_{mn})^{v}{}_{u}w^{aj}_{v} \ . \tag{69}$$

The Lagrangian in Eq. (41), with the modifications discussed above, will be used in the next section to compute the spectrum of the Kaluza-Klein states in the presence of a background magnetization in the six extra-dimensions.

7 The Kaluza-Klein reduction

After having determined the six-dimensional Lagrangian describing the interaction of the massless fields corresponding to the open strings D5/D9 with the gauge multiplets living respectively on the D5 and D9 branes, in this section we perform the Kaluza-Klein reduction of the six-dimensional Lagrangian to $R^{3,1} \times T^2$ with a non-zero magnetization on the D9 branes and we show that the spectrum of states agrees with the one obtained from string theory in the field theory limit given in Sect. 2.3. Since we are interested only in the spectrum of Kaluza-Klein states, we can limit ourselves to the following part of the Lagrangian in Eq. (41):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{59} \quad = \quad & \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\left(D^{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)^{a}{}_{u}\left(D_{\hat{\mu}}w_{\dot{\beta}}\right)^{a}{}_{u} - i\bar{\mu}^{u}{}_{a}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu\right)^{a}{}_{u} \\ & -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}})^{u}{}_{a}(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}F^{(9)}_{mn}(x,y_0)\epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}(w_{\dot{\gamma}})^{a}{}_{u} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Let us consider the bosonic part of this Lagrangian that, after a partial integration, is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{bos.}_{59} \quad = \quad & \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\left(D^{\mu}\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)^{a}{}_{u}\left(D_{\mu}w_{\dot{\beta}}\right)^{a}{}_{u} - \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\left(\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)^{a}{}_{u}\left(\bar{D}_{i}D_{i}w_{\dot{\beta}}\right)^{a}{}_{u} \\ & -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}})^{u}{}_{a}(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}F^{(9)}_{mn}(x,y_0)\epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}(w_{\dot{\gamma}})^{a}{}_{u}\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $\mu = 0 \ldots 3$, $i = 4, 5$ and $m, n = 6, 7, 8, 9$ and the indices m, n are contracted with the flat metric. Furthermore:

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2}\left((\bar{\sigma}^{m})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\sigma^{n})_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} - (\bar{\sigma}^{n})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\sigma^{m})_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}\right) \tag{72}$$

with $\sigma^m \equiv (-i\vec{\tau}, \mathbb{I})$ and $\bar{\sigma}^m \equiv (i\vec{\tau}, \mathbb{I})$[4]. In the following, we restrict ourselves to a six-dimensional compact manifold that is the product of three tori T^2 with a background magnetic field $F \equiv (F^{(9)}_{45}, F^{(9)}_{67}, F^{(9)}_{89})$ turned on only on the D9-branes. The three background magnetic fields must satisfy the constraint that the corresponding Chern class is an integer. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the magnetic fields lie in the $U(1)$ gauge group. This implies that they have the following form:

$$F_{45} = \frac{2\pi I^{(1)}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}} \quad ; \quad F^{(9)}_{67} = \frac{2\pi I^{(2)}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(2)}} \quad ; \quad F^{(9)}_{89} = \frac{2\pi I^{(3)}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(3)}} \tag{73}$$

where $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ are three integers. We take the D5 branes unmagnetized.

The extension to the case of magnetized D5-branes is easily obtained by writing $I^{(1)} = I_5^{(1)} - I_9^{(1)}$, while the extension to a non abelian bundle is obtained by the substitution $I \to \frac{I}{n}$ where I is the first Chern-class and n is the wrapping number.

Because of the background magnetizations the quantity appearing in the last term of Eq. (71) is equal to:

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} F^{(9)}_{mn}(x, y_0) = 2i \begin{pmatrix} F^{(9)}_{67} + F^{(9)}_{89} & 0 \\ 0 & -F^{(9)}_{67} - F^{(9)}_{89} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{74}$$

Furthermore, according to the notation of Ref. [12], we have:

$$-\bar{D}^i D_i = \frac{2\pi |I^{(1)}|}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}} (2a^{(1)\dagger}\, a^{(1)} + 1) . \tag{75}$$

By inserting Eqs. (74) and (75) in Eq. (71) we get the following expression for the quadratic term involving the twisted field w:

$$\mathcal{L}^{bos} = -\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}^{\mu} \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\mu} w_{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\frac{4\pi |I^{(1)}|}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}} N^{(1)} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} + M^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} \right) \epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} w_{\dot{\beta}} \tag{76}$$

being $N^{(1)} = a^{(1)\dagger} a^{(1)}$ and

$$M^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} + \left(\frac{I^{(2)}}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{I^{(3)}}{T_2^{(3)}}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} - \left(\frac{I^{(2)}}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{I^{(3)}}{T_2^{(3)}}\right) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{77}$$

---

[4] The identity matrix corresponds to the index 9, while the matrix $\vec{\sigma}$ corresponds to the indices 6, 7, 8.

The previous matrix is diagonal with eigenvalues given by:

$$\lambda_{\dot\alpha} = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2}\left[\frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} \pm \left(\frac{I^{(2)}}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{I^{(3)}}{T_2^{(3)}}\right)\right] \ . \tag{78}$$

The massless state is obtained by choosing $N^{(1)} = 0$ and imposing the constraint $\frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}} \pm \left(\frac{I^{(2)}}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{I^{(3)}}{T_2^{(3)}}\right) = 0$ where the sign ± to be chosen depends on the sign of the round bracket. The corresponding wave function can be obtained by solving the Eq. $a^{(1)}\, w_{\dot\alpha} = 0$ with suitable boundary conditions. These are given by the identification, up to a gauge transformation, of the fields under a translation on the torus [10]. The solution to this problem is provided in Ref. [12]. Therefore, we conclude that the wave-function is again a theta-function depending just on the moduli of the first torus. The general expression for the mass is then:

$$m_n^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2}\left[\frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}}(2N^{(1)}+1) \pm \left(\frac{I^{(2)}}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{I^{(3)}}{T_2^{(3)}}\right)\right] \ . \tag{79}$$

Let us now show that the spectrum of Kaluza-Klein states in Eq. (79) agrees with the one obtained from string theory in Eqs. (23) and (24). In Eq. (79) $I^{(2)}$ and $I^{(3)}$ can be both positive and negative. When they have opposite sign, the previous mass formula becomes:

$$m_n^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2}\left[\frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}}(2N^{(1)}+1) \pm \left(\frac{|I^{(2)}|}{T_2^{(2)}} - \frac{|I^{(3)}|}{T_2^{(3)}}\right)\right] \tag{80}$$

that is equal to Eq. (23). On the other hand, when they have the same sign, we get:

$$m_n^2 = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2}\left[\frac{|I^{(1)}|}{T_2^{(1)}}(2N^{(1)}+1) \pm \left(\frac{|I^{(2)}|}{T_2^{(2)}} + \frac{|I^{(3)}|}{T_2^{(3)}}\right)\right] \tag{81}$$

that is equal to Eq. (24). In conclusion, one obtains the string theory spectrum in the field theory limit.

The Kaluza-Klein spectrum of the fermionic states is obtained by expanding the six dimensional fermionic field in terms of its internal wave-functions associated to the compact directions of the first torus. These latter are determined by solving the eigenvalue equation of the two dimensional Dirac-equation [10]:

$$\Gamma^i D_i \eta_n(x^i) = m_n \eta_n \tag{82}$$

Squaring the Dirac equation and using the six dimension Γ-matrices given in the Appendix A, one easily arrives to write [12]:

$$\left(-D_i D^i \mathbb{I}_2 + \frac{2\pi I^{(1)}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}}\tau^3\right)\eta_n = m_n^2 \eta_n \ . \tag{83}$$

Using Eq. (75) we see that we have again two towers of Kaluza-Klein fermionic states with masses:

$$m_n^2 = \frac{2\pi|I^{(1)}|}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}}(2N^{(1)}+1) \pm \frac{2\pi I^{(1)}}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 T_2^{(1)}} \ . \tag{84}$$

From the previous expression we see that, once we fix the sign of the first Chern-class, the spectrum contains two towers of fermionic Kaluza-Klein states having opposite internal two-dimensional chirality. Being the six-dimensional spinors also chiral spinors, we conclude that the two sets of states have also opposite four dimensional chirality. This is exactly what happens in string theory and the previous expression coincides with the string result given in eq. (27). This agreement is another check on the correctness of the interaction term given in eq. (69).

8 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we have constructed the six-dimensional Lagrangian for the open strings D5/D9 interacting with the gauge multiplets living on the world-volumes of respectively the D5 and D9 branes. We have shown with an explicit calculation that, when we treat the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes as six-dimensional, this Lagrangian is $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric. In order to check various couplings of this Lagrangian and to extend it to the case in which the fields of the gauge multiplet living on the D9 branes are treated as ten-dimensional, we construct the vertex operators corresponding to the massless states of our system D5/D9 and we use them to compute three-point couplings. In this way we obtain a six-dimensional Lagrangian where the open strings D5/D5 and D5/D9 are treated as six-dimensional, while the open strings D9/D9 are treated as ten-dimensional. Finally, by introducing background magnetizations in the extra dimensions both on D5 and D9 branes, we compute the spectrum of the open strings attached with one end-point to a D5 and the other end-point to a D9 brane where the two D branes have different magnetizations. The spectrum of states completely agrees with that obtained in string theory in the field theory limit ($\alpha' \to 0$).

Actually, string theory requires that all states, including the open strings D5/D9 and D5/D5, should be treated as ten-dimensional. We hope to be able to come back to this point in a future publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank E. Brynjolfsson, L. Thorlacius, A. Wijns and T. Zingg for an early participation in this paper and M. Berg, P. Camara, G. Ferretti, A. Van Proeyen and G. Villadoro for useful discussions. F. P. thanks the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics at Stony Brook and the MIT Center for Theoretical Physics for hospitality during different stages of this work.

A Notations

We start writing the various Lagrangians in four dimensions in terms of Weyl spinors ψ^α and $\chi^{\dot\alpha}$ that are lowered or raised by means of the antisymmetric ϵ tensor given by:

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\; ; \;\; \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\; ; \;\; \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} = \delta^\alpha{}_\beta \tag{85}$$

Analogous formulas are valid for the dotted indices.

We can define a Dirac spinor and a Majorana spinor in terms of two Weyl spinors as follows:

$$\Psi_D = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha \\ \bar\chi^{\dot\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \;\; ; \;\; \Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha \\ \bar\psi^{\dot\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \tag{86}$$

In the case of a Dirac spinor the two Weyl spinors are different, while in the case of Majorana spinor the two are one the complex conjugate of the other.

The four-dimensional γ-matrices are given by:

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar\sigma^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\; ; \;\; \sigma^\mu = (1, \tau^i) \;\; ; \;\; \bar\sigma^\mu = (1, -\tau^i) \tag{87}$$

in terms of the two-dimensional Pauli matrices τ^i and the identity matrix. The previous notations are the same as those in Ref. [28] except that in their case $\sigma^0 = -1$, while in our case $\sigma^0 = 1$.

In order to go to from four to six dimensions we introduce the following representation of the six-dimensional gamma matrices in terms of the four-dimensional ones:

$$\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu \otimes 1 \;\; ; \;\; \Gamma^4 = \gamma_5 \otimes i\tau^1 \;\; ; \;\; \Gamma^5 = \gamma_5 \otimes i\tau^2 \;\; ; \;\; \Gamma_7 \equiv \gamma_5 \otimes \tau^3 \tag{88}$$

where

$$\Gamma_7 \equiv \Gamma^0\Gamma^1\Gamma^2\Gamma^3\Gamma^4\Gamma^5 \;\; ; \;\; \gamma_5 \equiv -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \;\; ; \;\; \{\Gamma^{\hat\mu}, \Gamma^{\hat\nu}\} = -2\eta^{\hat\mu\hat\nu} \tag{89}$$

where we are using, in any space-time number of dimensions, the mostly plus metric $(-, +, \cdots, +)$. A chiral spinor in six dimensions satisfies the condition:

$$(1 - b\Gamma_7)\zeta = 0 \tag{90}$$

where Γ_7 is defined in Eq. (88) and ζ has eight components. The most general solution of the previous equation is given by:

$$\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1+b\gamma_5}{2}\right)\beta \\ a\left(\frac{1-b\gamma_5}{2}\right)\beta \end{pmatrix} = \zeta_1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \zeta_2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{91}$$

where

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{1+b\gamma_5}{2}\beta \quad ; \quad \zeta_2 = a\frac{1-b\gamma_5}{2}\beta \tag{92}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\zeta} \equiv \zeta^\dagger \Gamma^0 &= \Big[\zeta_1^\dagger \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) + \zeta_2^\dagger \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\Big]\left(\gamma^0 \otimes 1\right) \\ &= \zeta_1^\dagger \gamma^0 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) + \zeta_2^\dagger \gamma^0 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{\beta}\left(\frac{1-b\gamma_5}{2}\right) & a\bar{\beta}\left(\frac{1+b\gamma_5}{2}\right) \end{array}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

where $a = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm 1$. This means that in going from four to six dimensions the six-dimensional Lagrangian contains the parameters a and b. Using the previous formulas and the six-dimensional Γ-matrices given in Eqs. (88) we can compute:

$$\bar{\zeta}\,\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}} \zeta = \bar{\beta}\left[\gamma^\mu D_\mu + ia\gamma_5 D_4 - abD_5\right]\beta \ . \tag{94}$$

In the final part of this Appendix we discuss the embedding of the six-dimensional Dirac matrices in the ten dimensional ones that will be useful for uplifting the fermions from six to ten dimensions.

It is convenient to use the following representation of the ten-dimensional Dirac matrices in terms of the four dimensional γ-matrices and the Pauli matrices $\vec{\tau}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^0 &= \gamma_4^0 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^5 = \gamma^5 \otimes i\tau^2 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^1 &= \gamma_4^1 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^6 = \gamma^5 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes -i\tau^1 \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^2 &= \gamma_4^2 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^7 = \gamma^5 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes i\tau^2 \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^3 &= \gamma_4^3 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^8 = \gamma^5 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes i\tau^1 \\
\Gamma^4 &= \gamma^5 \otimes i\tau^1 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^9 = \gamma^5 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes i\tau^2 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

They satisfy the ten-dimensional Clifford algebra:

$$\left\{\Gamma^M, \Gamma^N\right\} = -2\eta^{MN} \qquad \eta^{MN} = (-, + \dots) \ . \tag{96}$$

They can be also conveniently expressed in terms of the six dimensional Γ-matrices introduced in Eq. (88) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^0 &= \Gamma^0_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^5 = \Gamma^5_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^1 &= \Gamma^1_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^6 = \Gamma^7_{(6)} \otimes -i\tau^1 \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^2 &= \Gamma^2_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^7 = \Gamma^7_{(6)} \otimes i\tau^2 \otimes \mathbb{I} \\
\Gamma^3 &= \Gamma^3_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^8 = \Gamma^7_{(6)} \otimes \tau^3 \otimes i\tau^1 \\
\Gamma^4 &= \Gamma^4_{(6)} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^9 = \Gamma^7_{(6)} \otimes \tau^3 \otimes i\tau^2
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where we have added an index 6 to the six dimensional Γ-matrices to distinguish them from the ten dimensional ones and

$$\Gamma^{11} \equiv \Gamma^0 \dots \Gamma^9 = \Gamma^7_6 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes \tau^3 \quad ; \quad \Gamma^7_6 = \Gamma^0_{(6)}\Gamma^1_{(6)}\Gamma^2_{(6)}\Gamma^3_6\Gamma^4_{(6)}\Gamma^5_{(6)}. \tag{98}$$

The spinor λ in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ ten-dimensional SYM theory is Majorana-Weyl and therefore satisfies the Weyl-condition:

$$\left(\frac{1 + b\Gamma^{11}}{2}\right) \lambda = \left(\frac{1 + b\Gamma_6^7 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes \tau^3}{2}\right) \lambda_6 \otimes \lambda_4 = 0 \; . \tag{99}$$

The Majorana condition, instead, is encoded in the constraint:

$$\xi = B^{-1} \xi^* \tag{100}$$

where B allows one to connect the ten-dimensional Dirac matrices with their complex conjugates [22]:

$$B \, \Gamma^M \, B^{-1} = \Gamma^{M*}$$

which implies $B \, B^* = \mathbb{I}$. In our ten-dimensional representation B is given by:

$$B = c \Gamma^2 \, \Gamma^4 \, \Gamma^6 \, \Gamma^8$$

where c is an arbitrary constant such that $|c| = 1$. In this paper we take it to be ± 1. In the six-dimensional representation of the Dirac matrices B becomes:

$$B = c \Gamma^2_{(6)} \Gamma^4_{(6)} \otimes i\tau_2 \otimes \tau_1 \equiv B_6 \otimes i\tau_2 \otimes \tau_1 \tag{101}$$

with B_6 being the operator that allows to connect the six-dimensional Dirac matrices with their conjugates. It satifies: $B_6 \, B_6^* = -\mathbb{I}$.

We have now all the ingredients to decompose the ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinor in terms of the six-dimensional components. It is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \;&=\; \Psi \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (B_6 \Psi)^* \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+\; \Lambda^2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c^* \, a \Lambda^1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

where $a = \pm 1$ to be fixed later. It satisfies the Weyl condition in Eq. (99). if Ψ and Λ^i satisfy the two conditions:

$$(1 + b\Gamma_6^7) \Psi = (1 - b\Gamma_6^7) \Lambda^i = 0 \; . \tag{103}$$

It satisfies the Majorana condition $\lambda^* = B\lambda$ if the six-dimensional spinor Λ^i satisfies the relation:

$$B_6 \Lambda^i = -ac\epsilon_{ij} (\Lambda^j)^* \quad ; \quad \epsilon_{12} = -1 \tag{104}$$

The spinor $\bar{\lambda}$ is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} \;&=\; \bar{\Psi} \otimes (1, \, 0) \otimes (1, \, 0) - (B_6 \Psi)^T \Gamma^0_{(6)} \otimes (0, \, 1) \otimes (0, \, 1) \\ &+\; \bar{\Lambda}_2 \otimes (1, \, 0) \otimes (0, \, 1) + c \, a \bar{\Lambda}_1 \otimes (0, \, 1) \otimes (1, \, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

where, in analogy with two-dimensional spinors (see Eq. (127)), we are following the convention $(\Lambda^i)^* = \Lambda_i^*$ and lowering and rising indices through the antisymmetric tensor ϵ. The same rule is adopted for the field Ψ^α, in detail $(\Psi^\alpha)^* = \Psi_\alpha^*$ which determines:

$$\bar{\Psi}^\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\bar{\Psi} \end{array} \right). \tag{106}$$

The ten dimensional spinors λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ will be used in Appendix E for the uplift from six to ten dimensions.

B Magnetized D branes

In Eq. (8) of Sect. 2 we have given the mass spectrum of the open strings attached to two magnetized D branes in terms of the number operators. It is valid in the entire interval $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$, but the explicit expression of the number operators is in general different for positive and negative values of ν_r ($r = 1, 2, 3$). In Sect. 2 we have given their form when $0 \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$. Here we extend it to the case $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le 0$.

The interval $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$ is the natural range for the fermions in the NS sector and therefore, in this case, the number operator given in Eq. (4), for $x = 1$ is valid in the entire interval $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$. This range is also natural according to the first equation in (5). For the bosonic coordinate and for the R sector we have to change the number operators in Eqs. (3) and (4) for $x = 0$ as follows:

$$N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+1+\nu_r) a_{n+1+\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} a_{n+1+\nu_r}^{(r)} + (n-\nu_r) \bar{a}_{n-\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} \bar{a}_{n-\nu_r}^{(r)} \Big] \tag{107}$$

and

$$N_r^{\Psi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+1+\nu_r) \Psi_{n+1+\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} \Psi_{n+1+\nu_r}^{(r)} + (n-\nu_r) \bar{\Psi}_{n-\nu_r}^{(r)\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{n-\nu_r}^{(r)} \Big] \ . \tag{108}$$

We see that, in going from the interval $0 \le \nu_r \le \frac{1}{2}$ to the interval $-\frac{1}{2} \le \nu_r \le 0$, we exchange the role of the oscillators $a^{(r)}$ and $\bar{a}^{(r)}$ and $\Psi^{(r)}$ and $\bar{\Psi}^{(r)}$ in the R sector. This can be seen more directly by writing Eqs. (3) and (107) as follows:

$$N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+|\nu_r|) a_{n+|\nu_r|}^{(r)\dagger} a_{n+|\nu_r|}^{(r)} + (n+1-|\nu_r|) \bar{a}_{n+1-|\nu_r|}^{(r)\dagger} \bar{a}_{n+1-|\nu_r|}^{(r)} \Big] \tag{109}$$

and

$$N_r^{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+1-|\nu_r|) a_{n+1-|\nu_r|}^{(r)\dagger} a_{n+1-|\nu_r|}^{(r)} + (n+|\nu_r|) \bar{a}_{n+|\nu_r|}^{(r)\dagger} \bar{a}_{n+|\nu_r|}^{(r)} \Big] \tag{110}$$

and analogously in the R sector. In the NS sector, as well as for the four-dimensional non-compact directions, instead nothing changes.

In the second part of this Appendix we give the number operators for the second and third torus for the open strings D5/D9. They are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\mathcal{Z}}_{r=2,3} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) a^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} a^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \bar{a}^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \bar{a}^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

for the bosonic coordinate,

$$\begin{aligned} (N^{\Psi}_{r=2,3})_R &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \Psi^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Psi^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \bar{\Psi}^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \bar{\Psi}^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

for the fermionic coordinate in the Ramond sector and

$$\begin{aligned} (N^{\Psi}_{r=2,3})_{NS} &= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \Big[(n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \Psi^{\dagger\,(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Psi^{\,(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \overline{\Psi}^{\dagger\,(r)}_{n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \overline{\Psi}^{(r)}_{n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

for the fermionic coordinate in the NS sector.

The previous expressions (111), (112) and (113) are valid if $0 \leq \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} < \frac{1}{2}$. If instead $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu_{2,3}^{(9)} < 0$ we have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\mathcal{Z}}_{r=2,3} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) a^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} a^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \bar{a}^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \bar{a}^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

and for the Ramond sector:

$$\begin{aligned} (N^{\Psi}_{r=2,3})_R &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[(n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \Psi^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Psi^{(r)}_{n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \bar{\Psi}^{(r)\dagger}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \bar{\Psi}^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

while for the NS sector we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (N^{\Psi}_{r=2,3})_{NS} &= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \Big[(n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \Psi^{\dagger\,(r)}_{n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Psi^{\,(r)}_{n-\frac{1}{2}+|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \\ &\quad + (n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|) \overline{\Psi}^{\dagger\,(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \overline{\Psi}^{(r)}_{n+\frac{1}{2}-|\nu_r^{(9)}|} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

C Uplift from four to six for the strings 55

We start from the Lagrangian of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills in four dimensions given in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield formalism by:

$$\begin{aligned} L_4 = 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\bar{\Phi}_i \mathrm{e}^{2gV}\Phi_i + i\sqrt{2}g\left(\int d^2\theta\Phi_1[\Phi_2,\Phi_3] + \int d^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\Phi}_1[\bar{\Phi}_2,\bar{\Phi}_3]\right)\right] \\ +2\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}\left[\int d^2\theta\, W^\alpha W_\alpha + \int d^2\bar{\theta}\,\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right]\right) \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

where V is the vector superfield in the Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) \;=\; -\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu + i\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D\ , \tag{115}$$

W_α is its superfield strength:

$$W_\alpha(x,\theta) = -i\lambda_\alpha + \left[\delta_\alpha{}^\beta D - i\left(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\right)_\alpha{}^\beta F_{\mu\nu}\right]\theta_\beta + \theta^2\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}D_\mu\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \tag{116}$$

and the Φ_i's are three chiral superfields given by:

$$\Phi_i(x,\theta) = A_i(x) + \sqrt{2}\;\theta^\alpha\psi_{i\,\alpha}(x) + \theta^2 F_i(x)\ . \tag{117}$$

Any of the previous fields that we denote collectively by ϕ is a matrix:

$$\phi \equiv \phi^A (T^A)^a_{\;b} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{Tr}(T^A T^B) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{AB} \quad ; \quad A, B = 1\ldots N^2 \tag{118}$$

where T^A are the matrices of $U(N)$ in the fundamental representation.

In terms of the component fields the previous Lagrangian is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} L_4 \;=\;\; & 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\left((D_{c+5})^2 + igD_{c+5}\eta_{cmn}[A^m, A^n]\right) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(-(D^\mu A_i)^\dagger(D_\mu A_i) - i\bar{\psi}_i\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\psi_i\right) + \bar{F}_2F_2 + \bar{F}_3F_3 - gD_5[A_1,\bar{A}_1] \\ & + i\sqrt{2}g\left(F_2[A_3,A_1] + F_3[A_1,A_2] + \bar{F}_2[\bar{A}_3,\bar{A}_1] + \bar{F}_3[\bar{A}_1,\bar{A}_2]\right) \\ & + i\sqrt{2}g\,(\psi_1^\alpha[\psi_{3\alpha},A_2] + \psi_1^\alpha[A_3,\psi_{2\alpha}] + \psi_3^\alpha[\psi_{2\alpha},A_1] \\ & + \bar{\psi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\psi}_3^{\dot{\alpha}},\bar{A}_2] + \bar{\psi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{A}_3,\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}}] + \bar{\psi}_{3\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}},\bar{A}_1]\big) \\ & - \sqrt{2}ig\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\psi_i^\alpha[\lambda_\alpha,\bar{A}_i] + \bar{\psi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}},A_i]\right)\Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

where we have introduced a triplet of auxiliary fields D_{c+5} with $c = 1, 2, 3$:

$$D_8 \equiv -D \quad ; \quad iF_1 = \frac{D_6 - iD_7}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{120}$$

the real fields $A_m (m = 6, 7, 8, 9)$ given by:

$$A_2 \equiv \frac{A_6 + iA_7}{\sqrt{2}} \quad ; \quad A_3 \equiv \frac{A_8 + iA_9}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{121}$$

and the 't Hooft symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{cmn} &= \epsilon_{cmn9} + \delta_{cm}\delta_{n9} - \delta_{cn}\delta_{m9} \\ \bar{\eta}_{cmn} &= \epsilon_{cmn9} - \delta_{cm}\delta_{n9} + \delta_{cn}\delta_{m9} \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

where $m, n = 6, 7, 8, 9$, $c = 6, 7, 8$ and $\epsilon_{6789} = 1$.

In the following we split the previous Lagrangian in a part L_1, corresponding to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang-Mills, and in a part L_2, corresponding to its interaction with an hypermultiplet in the adjoint, we write them in a formalism where the $SU(2)$ R-invariance is manifest and finally we uplift them to six dimensions.

The Lagrangian corresponding to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang-Mills is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\mathcal{D}_{c+5}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=4}^{5}(D^{\mu}A_i)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}A_i) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{g^2}{2}[A_4, A_5]^2 - i\bar{\psi}_1\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi_1 - \sqrt{2}ig\left(\psi_1^{\alpha}[\lambda_{\alpha}, \bar{A}_1] + \bar{\psi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}, A_1]\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

where we have redefined one of the three auxiliary fields:

$$\mathcal{D}_{c+5} = D_{c+5} \quad for\ c = 1, 2 \quad ; \quad \mathcal{D}_8 = D_8 - g[\phi, \phi^*] \tag{124}$$

and we have introduced the following fields A_4 and A_5:

$$A_1 \equiv \phi = a\frac{A_4 - ibA_5}{\sqrt{2}} \quad ; \quad \bar{A}_1 \equiv \phi^* = a\frac{A_4 + ibA_5}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{125}$$

where we have written the complex scalar field A_1 belonging to the first chiral multiplet in terms of the two real scalar fields A_4 and A_5 that in the uplift from four to six dimensions will provide the extra two components of the six-dimensional gauge field. The presence of the phases $a = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm 1$ will be become clear later on.

The Lagrangian corresponding to the interaction with an hypermultiplet is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{i}{2}g\sum_{c=1}^{3}\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\eta_{cmn}[A^m, A^n] + \sum_{i=2}^{3}\left(-(D^{\mu}A_i)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}A_i) - i\bar{\psi}_i\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi_i + \bar{F}_iF_i\right) \right. \\ &- \frac{b}{2}g^2[A_4, A_5]\eta_{8mn}[A^m, A^n] \\ &+ i\sqrt{2}g\left(F_2[A_3, A_1] + F_3[A_1, A_2] + \bar{F}_2[\bar{A}_3, \bar{A}_1] + \bar{F}_3[\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2]\right) \\ &+ i\sqrt{2}g\,(\psi_1^{\alpha}[\psi_{3\alpha}, A_2] + \psi_1^{\alpha}[A_3, \psi_{2\alpha}] + \psi_3^{\alpha}[\psi_{2\alpha}, A_1] \\ &+ \bar{\psi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\psi}_3^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{A}_2] + \bar{\psi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{A}_3, \bar{\psi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}}] + \bar{\psi}_{3\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{A}_1]) \\ &- \left. \sqrt{2}ig\sum_{i=2}^{3}\left(\psi_i^{\alpha}[\lambda_{\alpha}, \bar{A}_i] + \bar{\psi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}, A_i]\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Let us now write the two Lagrangians in a way that the $SU(2)$ R-symmetry is manifest. Let us introduce the following $SU(2)$ doublets [29]:

$$\lambda^i_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_{1\alpha} \\ \lambda_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; \lambda_{\alpha i} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_\alpha \\ -\psi_{1\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha}_i = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\psi}^{\dot\alpha}_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha i} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha} \\ \bar{\psi}^{\dot\alpha}_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{127}$$

In order to show the consistency of the previous equations we have to use the following convention:

$$(\lambda^i_\alpha)^* \equiv \bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha i} = \epsilon_{ij}\bar{\lambda}^j_{\dot\alpha} \; ; \; \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha}_i = \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\bar{\lambda}^j_{\dot\beta} \; ; \; \lambda^i_\alpha = \epsilon^{ij}\lambda_{\alpha j} \tag{128}$$

where

$$\epsilon^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; \epsilon_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{129}$$

It is easy to see that, using the first equation in Eq. (128), one can show that the last two equations in (127) are consistent. The consistency of the first two equations in Eq. (127) can be shown using the last equation in (128).

Using the previous formulas we can rewrite Eq. (123) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 \;&=\; 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=4}^{5}(D^\mu A_i)^\dagger(D_\mu A_i) + \frac{g^2}{2}[A_4, A_5]^2 \right. \\ &- \left. i\bar{\lambda}_i\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\lambda^i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\mathcal{D}^2_{c+5} + i\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{2}\left(\lambda^\alpha_i[\lambda^i_\alpha, \bar{A}_1] + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha i}[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha i}, A_1]\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

where now the invariance under $SU(2)$ is manifest.

Introducing the following $SU(2)$ doublets:

$$Z^i = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_3 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \bar{Z}_i \equiv (Z^i)^\dagger = \left(A_3, \bar{A}_2\right) \tag{131}$$

together with

$$Z_i \equiv \left(\epsilon_{12}Z^2 = -A_2, \epsilon_{21}Z^1 = \bar{A}_3\right) \quad ; \quad \bar{Z}^i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{12}\bar{Z}_2 = \bar{A}_2 \\ \epsilon^{21}\bar{Z}_1 = -A_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{132}$$

and eliminating the auxiliary fields F_2 and F_3, we can write Eq. (126) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 \;&=\; 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-(D^\mu Z^i)^\dagger(D_\mu Z^i) - i\bar{\psi}_2\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\psi_2 - i\bar{\psi}_3\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\psi_3 \right. \\ &+\; g\bar{Z}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j Z^j - gZ_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j\bar{Z}^j \\ &+\; g^2\left([A_4, \bar{Z}_i][A_4, Z^i] + [A_5, \bar{Z}_i][A_5, Z^i]\right) \\ &+\; i\sqrt{2}g\left(\lambda^{\alpha i}[\psi_{3\alpha},\, Z^j]\epsilon_{ij} - \lambda^\alpha_i[\psi_{2\alpha},\, \bar{Z}_j]\epsilon^{ij} - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha i}[\bar{\psi}^{\dot\alpha}_3,\, \bar{Z}_j]\epsilon^{ij} - \bar{\lambda}^i_{\dot\alpha}[\bar{\psi}_2{}^{\dot\alpha},\, Z^j]\epsilon_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \psi^\alpha_3[\psi_{2\alpha}, A_1] + \bar{\psi}_{3\dot\alpha}[\bar{\psi}^{\dot\alpha}_2, \bar{A}_1]\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

that is manifestly $SU(2)$ invariant. In deriving the previous equation we have used the following identity:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{i}{2}g\sum_{c=1}^{3}\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\eta_{(c+5)mn}[A^m,A^n]\right]=\mathrm{Tr}\left[g\bar{Z}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_jZ^j-gZ_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j\bar{Z}^j\right]$$

that follows from Eqs. (121) and (131). The next step is to write both Eq.s. (130) and (133) using four-dimensional Dirac fermions:

$$\xi\equiv\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{2\alpha}\\ \bar{\psi}_3^{\dot\alpha}\end{pmatrix}\quad;\quad\bar{\xi}\equiv\begin{pmatrix}\psi_3^{\alpha} & \bar{\psi}_{2\dot\alpha}\end{pmatrix}\quad;\quad\eta^i=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda^i_\alpha\\ \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha i}\end{pmatrix}\;;\;\bar{\eta}_i=\begin{pmatrix}-\lambda^\alpha_i & \bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha i}\end{pmatrix}\tag{134}$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned}L_1 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=4}^{5}(D_\mu A_i)(D_\mu A_i)+\frac{g^2}{2}[A_4,A_5]^2\right.\\ &+\left.\frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=3}^{5}\mathcal{D}^2_{c+5}-\frac{i}{2}\bar{\eta}_i\left[\gamma^\mu D_\mu\eta^i-a\gamma_5 g[A_4,\eta^i]-abig[A_5,\eta^i]\right]\right]\end{aligned}\tag{135}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}L_2 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[+g^2\left([A_4,\bar{Z}_i][A_4,Z^i]+[A_5,\bar{Z}_i][A_5,Z^i]\right)+g\bar{Z}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_jZ^j\right.\\ &- gZ_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i{}_j\bar{Z}^j-i\bar{\xi}\left(\gamma^\mu D_\mu\xi+gab[A_5,\xi]+\gamma_5 ga[A_4,\xi]\right)\\ &- \left.\sqrt{2}g\left([\bar{\xi},Z^j]\epsilon_{ij}\gamma_5\eta^i+\bar{\eta}_i\gamma_5[\xi,\bar{Z}_j]\epsilon^{ij}\right)\right]\end{aligned}\tag{136}$$

We are now ready to uplift the two previous Lagrangians to six dimensions by introducing the two six-dimensional chiral spinors:

$$(1-b\Gamma_7)\Lambda^i=0\;\;;\;\;\Lambda^i=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+b\gamma_5}{2}\eta^i\\ a\frac{1-b\gamma_5}{2}\eta^i\end{pmatrix}\;\;;\;\;\bar{\Lambda}_i=\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\eta}_i\frac{1-b\gamma_5}{2} & a\bar{\eta}_i\frac{1+b\gamma_5}{2}\end{pmatrix}\tag{137}$$

and

$$(1-b_\Psi\Gamma_7)\Psi=0\;\;;\;\;\Psi=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+b_\Psi\gamma_5}{2}\xi\\ a_\Psi\frac{1-b_\Psi\gamma_5}{2}\xi\end{pmatrix}\;\;;\;\;\bar{\Psi}=\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\xi}\frac{1-b_\Psi\gamma_5}{2} & a_\Psi\bar{\xi}\frac{1+b_\Psi\gamma_5}{2}\end{pmatrix}\tag{138}$$

Eq. (135) becomes:

$$L_{\mathcal{N}=2\,sYM} = 2\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}F_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=3}^{5}\mathcal{D}^2_{c+5}-\frac{i}{2}\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}\Lambda^i\right]\tag{139}$$

while Eq. (136) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[-(D_{\hat\mu}Z^i)^\dagger(D_{\hat\mu}Z^i) + g\bar{Z}_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i_{\;j}Z^j - gZ_i\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\mathcal{D}_{c+5}\tau^c)^i_{\;j}\bar{Z}^j \\ &- i\bar\Psi\Gamma^{\hat\mu}D_{\hat\mu}\Psi + i\sqrt{2}gb\left([\bar\Psi, Z^j]\epsilon_{ij}\Lambda^i - \bar\Lambda_i[\Psi,\bar{Z}_j]\epsilon^{ij}\right)\Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

where $\hat\mu = (\mu, 4, 5)$. The previous equations have been obtained by imposing that:

$$a_\Psi = -a \quad ; \quad b_\Psi = -b \tag{141}$$

This means that the two chiral spinors in six dimensions have opposite chirality.

D Uplift from four to six for the strings 59

An $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet consists of two $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields R_1 and R_2 coupled to the gauge superfield V and with a very special superpotential. Its Lagrangian is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{hyper} &= \int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar\theta\left[R^*_{1a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2gV}\right)^a_{\;\,b}R^b_1 + R_{2a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2gV}\right)^a_{\;\,b}R^{*b}_2\right] \\ &+\sqrt{2}g\left[\int d^2\theta R_{2a}\Phi^a_{\;\,b}R^b_1 + \int d^2\bar\theta R^*_{1a}(\Phi^*)^a_{\;\,b}R^{*b}_2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

where

$$R_i = z_i + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi_i + \theta^2 G_i \quad ; \quad \Phi = \phi + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi + \theta^2 F \tag{143}$$

Here * stands for a complex conjugation and the superfield Φ has to be identified with Φ_1 of the previous section. In terms of the component fields the first two terms of Eq. (142) are equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar\theta\left[R^*_{1a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2gV}\right)^a_{\;\,b}R^b_1 + R_{2a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2gV}\right)^a_{\;\,b}R^{*b}_2\right] \\ &= \left[-(D_\mu z_1)^*_a(D_\mu z_1)^a + G^a_1 G^*_{1a} - i\bar\psi_{1a}\bar\sigma^\mu(D_\mu\psi_1)^b\right] \\ &+ \left[-(D_\mu z_2)_a(D_\mu z_2)^{*a} + G_{2a}G^{*a}_2 - i\bar\psi^a_2\bar\sigma^\mu(D_\mu\psi_2)^a\right] + g\left(z^*_{1a}D^a_{\;\,b}z^b_1 - z_{2a}D^a_{\;\,b}z^{*b}_2\right) \\ &+i\sqrt{2}g\left(z^*_{1a}\lambda^a_{\;\,b}\psi^b_1 + z_{2a}(\bar\lambda)^a_{\;\,b}\bar\psi^b_2 - \bar\psi_{1a}(\bar\lambda)^a_{\;\,b}z^b_1 - \psi_{2a}(\lambda)^a_{\;\,b}z^{*b}_2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

where the covariant derivatives are given by

$$(D_\mu z_1)^a = \partial_\mu z^a_1 + ig(A_\mu)^a_{\;\,b}z^b_1 \quad ; \quad (D_\mu z^*_2)^a = (\partial_\mu z^*_2)^a + ig(A_\mu)^a_{\;\,b}(z^*_2)^b \tag{145}$$

$$(D_\mu z^*_1)_a = (\partial_\mu z^*_1)_a - ig(A^*_\mu)_a^{\;\,b}(z^*_1)_b = (\partial_\mu z^*_1)_a - ig(A_\mu)^b_{\;\,a}(z^*_1)_b \tag{146}$$

$$(D_\mu z_2)_a = (\partial_\mu z_2)_a - ig(A_\mu)^b{}_a (z_2)_b \qquad (147)$$

$$D_\mu \psi_1^a = \partial_\mu \psi_1^a + ig(A_\mu)^a{}_b \psi_1^b \;\; ; \;\; D_\mu \psi_{2a} = \partial_\mu \psi_{2a} - ig(A_\mu)^b{}_a \psi_{2b} \qquad (148)$$

with

$$(A_\mu)^a{}_b \equiv (T^A)^a{}_b A_\mu^A \;\; ;' \;\; (\phi)^a{}_b \equiv (T^A)^a{}_b \phi^A \;\; ; \;\; (\phi^*)^a{}_b \equiv (T^A)^a{}_b (\phi^*)^A \qquad (149)$$

We can now write the superpotential in terms of the component fields. We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{2}g\left[\int d^2\theta R_{2a}\Phi^a{}_b R_1^b + \int d^2\bar\theta R^*_{1a}(\Phi^*)^a{}_b R_2^{*b}\right] = \sqrt{2}g\,\big[z_{2a}F^a{}_b z_1^b + z^*_{1a}(F^*)^a{}_b z_2^{*b} \\
&+G_{2a}\phi^a{}_b z_1^b + z_{2a}\phi^a{}_b G_1^b + G^*_{1a}(\phi^*)^a{}_b z_2^{*b} + z^*_{1a}(\phi^*)^a{}_b G_2^{*b} - \psi_{2a}\phi^a{}_b\psi_1^b \\
&-\bar\psi_{1a}(\phi^*)^a{}_b\bar\psi_2^b - z_{2a}(\psi)^a{}_b\psi_1^b - z^*_{1a}(\bar\psi)^a{}_b\bar\psi_2^b - \psi_{2a}(\psi)^a{}_b z_1^b - \bar\psi_{1a}(\bar\psi)^a{}_b z_2^{*b}\big] \qquad (150)
\end{aligned}$$

We want to rewrite the previous Lagrangian in a way where the $SU(2)$ R symmetry is manifest. We introduce the following $SU(2)$ doublets [29]:

$$\bar w_i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar w_1 & \bar w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -iz_2 & z_1^* \end{pmatrix} \;\; ; \;\; w^i \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} iz_2^* \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (151)$$

The index i is raised or lowered by the antisymmetric tensor ϵ given in Eq. (129). The complex variables $w, \bar w$ are not independent, but satisfy the relation:

$$\epsilon^{ij}\bar w_j = (w_i)^* \qquad (152)$$

The previous relations imply:

$$\bar w^{\dot 2} = -\bar w_{\dot 1} = (w_{\dot 2})^* = (w^{\dot 1})^* \;\; ; \;\; \bar w^{\dot 1} = \bar w_{\dot 2} = (w_{\dot 1})^* = -(w^{\dot 2})^* \qquad (153)$$

In terms of the previous doublets for the scalar fields and of those connected with the gauginos given in Eq. (127), the total Lagrangian, that is the sum of Eqs. (144) and (150), can be written in the following equivalent forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{hyper} = (D_\mu \bar w)_{ia}(D^\mu w)^{ia} - g\bar w_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j D_{c+5} w^{aj} \\
&-i\bar\psi_{1a}\bar\sigma^\mu(D_\mu\psi_1)^a - i\bar\psi_2^a\bar\sigma^\mu(D_\mu\psi_2)_a - \sqrt{2}g\left(\psi_{2a}\phi^a{}_b\psi_1^b + \bar\psi_{1a}(\phi^*)^a{}_b\bar\psi_2^b\right) \\
&+\sqrt{2}g\left[i\bar w_i\lambda^{i\alpha}\psi_{1\alpha} - \bar w_i\bar\lambda^i_{\dot\alpha}\bar\psi_2^{\dot\alpha} - \psi_2^\alpha\lambda_{\alpha i}w^i + i\bar\psi_{1\dot\alpha}\bar\lambda_i^{\dot\alpha}w^i\right] - \bar G_i G^i \\
&-\sqrt{2}g\left(\bar G_{ia}\begin{pmatrix} (\phi^*)^a{}_b & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi)^a{}_b \end{pmatrix}^i{}_j w^{jb} + \bar w_{ia}\begin{pmatrix} (\phi)^a{}_b & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi^*)^a{}_b \end{pmatrix}^i{}_j G^{jb}\right) \qquad (154)
\end{aligned}$$

The equations of motion for the auxiliary fields G and $\bar G$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^{ia} + \sqrt{2}g\begin{pmatrix} (\phi^*)^a{}_b & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi)^a{}_b \end{pmatrix}^i{}_j w^{jb} = 0 \\
&\bar G_{ia} + \sqrt{2}g\bar w_{jb}\begin{pmatrix} (\phi)^b{}_a & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi^*)^b{}_a \end{pmatrix}^j{}_i = 0 \qquad (155)
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting them in Eq. (154) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{hyper} &= \epsilon^{ij}(D_\mu \bar{w}_i)_a (D_\mu w_j)^a - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j (D_{c+2})^a{}_b w^{bj} \\
&- i\bar{\psi}_{1a}\bar{\sigma}^\mu (D_\mu \psi_1)^a - i\bar{\psi}_2^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu (D_\mu \psi_2)_a - \sqrt{2}g\left(\psi_{2a}\phi^a{}_b \psi_1^b + \bar{\psi}_{1a}(\phi^*)^a{}_b \bar{\psi}_2^b\right) \\
&+ \sqrt{2}g\left[i\bar{w}_i \lambda^{i\alpha}\psi_{1\alpha} - \bar{w}_i \bar{\lambda}^i_{\dot\alpha}\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot\alpha} - \psi_2^\alpha \lambda_{\alpha i} w^i + i\bar{\psi}_{1\dot\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot\alpha} w^i\right] \\
&+ g^2 \bar{w}_{ia}(\{\phi,\phi^*\})^a{}_b w^{bi} + g^2 \bar{w}_{ia}(\tau^3)^i{}_j ([\phi,\phi^*])^a{}_b w^{jb} \qquad (156)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the redefinition of the auxiliary fields given in Eq. (124) we can rewrite the previous Lagrangian as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{hyper} &= (D_\mu \bar{w})_{ia}(D^\mu w)^{ia} - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j \mathcal{D}_{c+5} w^{aj} + g^2 \bar{w}_{ia}(\{\phi,\phi^*\}^i{}_j)^a{}_b w^{bj} \\
&- i(\bar{\psi}_{1\dot\alpha})_a (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot\alpha\beta}(D_\mu \psi_{1\beta})^a - i(\bar{\psi}_{2\dot\gamma})^a (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot\gamma\delta}(D_\mu \psi_{2\delta})_a \\
&- g\sqrt{2}\left(\psi_{2a}^\alpha \phi^a{}_b \psi_{1\alpha}^b + \bar{\psi}_{1\dot\alpha a}\phi^{*a}{}_b \bar{\psi}_2^{\dot\alpha b}\right) \\
&- \sqrt{2}ig\left[\left((\bar{\psi}_{1\dot\alpha})_a (\bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha i})^a{}_b + i(\psi_2^\alpha)_a (\lambda^i_\alpha)^a{}_b\right)\epsilon_{ij} w^j\right. \\
&- \left.\bar{w}_i \epsilon^{ij}\left((\lambda_j^\alpha)^a{}_b (\psi_{1\alpha})^b + i(\bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha j})^a{}_b (\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot\alpha})^b\right)\right] \qquad (157)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(D_\mu w^i)^a = \partial_\mu w^{ia} + ig(A_\mu)^a{}_b w^{ib} \quad ; \quad (D_\mu \bar{w}_i)_a = \partial_\mu \bar{w}_{ia} - ig(A_\mu)^b{}_a \bar{w}_i^b \qquad (158)$$

Using the notations given in Appendix A we can write the previous four-dimensional Lagrangian in terms of Dirac spinors. One gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{hyper} &= \epsilon^{ij}(D_\mu \bar{w}_i)_a (D^\mu w_j)^a - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j (\mathcal{D}_{c+5})^a{}_b w^{bj} + g^2 \bar{w}_{ia}(\{\phi,\phi^*\}^i{}_j)^a{}_b w^{bj} \\
&- i\bar{\psi}_a\left[\gamma^\mu (D_\mu)^a{}_b + \sqrt{2}g\left(\phi^a{}_b \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} - (\phi^*)^a{}_b \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\right)\right]\psi^b \\
&- \sqrt{2}gi\left[\bar{\psi}_a \gamma_5 (\eta^i)^a{}_b \epsilon_{ij}(z^j)^b + (z_i^\dagger)_a \epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\eta}_j)^a{}_b \gamma_5 \psi^b\right] \qquad (159)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first line we have written what is in the first and last line of Eq. (156). The Dirac field ψ is defined in terms of the Weyl fields by:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1\alpha} \\ i\bar{\psi}_2^{\dot\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (160)$$

and the covariant derivative is given by:

$$(D_\mu \psi)^a = \partial_\mu \psi^a + ig(A_\mu)^a{}_b \psi^b \qquad (161)$$

It remains now to rewrite the last two lines of Eq. (159) in six-dimensional notations.

This can be done by introducing the following six-dimensional Weyl spinor:

$$(1-b_\mu\Gamma_7)\mu=0\ ;\ \mu=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1+b_\mu\gamma_5}{2}\psi\\ a_\chi\frac{1-b_\mu\gamma_5}{2}\psi\end{pmatrix}\ ;\ \bar{\mu}=\mu^\dagger\Gamma^0=\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\psi}\frac{1-b_\mu\gamma_5}{2} & a_\mu\bar{\psi}\frac{1+b_\mu\gamma_5}{2}\end{pmatrix} \tag{162}$$

If the following conditions are satisfied

$$a_\mu=-a \quad ; \quad b_\mu=-b \tag{163}$$

we can uplift the previous Lagrangian to the following six-dimensional one:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{hyper} &= \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\mathcal{D}_{c+5})^a{}_b w^{bj} \\ &- i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a+\sqrt{2}gib\left[\bar{\mu}_a(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}w^{jb}+\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right] \end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

where μ and Λ are six-dimensional Weyl spinors with opposite chirality.

E Uplift from six to ten dimensions for the strings 99

In this Appendix we uplift the Lagrangian in Eq. (42) to ten dimensions.

The uplift of the purely bosonic part is easy if we observe that the term with the double commutator can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\tilde{g}_9^2}{4}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\eta_{(c+5)mn}\eta_{(c+5)pq}[\hat{A}^{m(9)},\hat{A}^{n(9)}][\hat{A}^{p(9)},\hat{A}^{q(9)}]=\frac{\tilde{g}_9^2}{4}\sum_{m,n}[\hat{A}_m,\hat{A}_n]^2 \tag{165}$$

that can be uplifted to ten dimensions to become:

$$\frac{\tilde{g}_9^2}{4}\sum_{m,n}[\hat{A}_m,\hat{A}_n]^2\Longrightarrow-\frac{1}{4}\hat{F}^{mn}\hat{F}_{mn} \tag{166}$$

Then it is easy to see that together with the two other purely bosonic terms gives rise to the Lagrangian of pure Yang-Mills theory in ten dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{99}=-\frac{1}{4}F_{MN}F_{MN}+\quad fermions \tag{167}$$

In the following we want to uplift the terms with the fermions present in Eq. (42).

It is easier to start from the ten dimensional expression that is given by:

$$-i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^M D_M\lambda\right) \tag{168}$$

and use in it the representation for the ten dimensional spinors given in Eqs. (102) and (105). For $M = 0 \ldots 5$ we get

$$- 2i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\Psi}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}}\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i\right) \tag{169}$$

while for the other four components we get respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} - i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^6 D_6\lambda\right) &= -2b\left[c^* a\bar{\Psi} D_6\Lambda^1 - ca\bar{\Lambda}_1 D_6\Psi\right] \\ -i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^7 D_7\lambda\right) &= -2bi\left[c^* a\bar{\Psi} D_7\Lambda^1 + ca\bar{\Lambda}_1 D_7\Psi\right] \\ -i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^8 D_8\lambda\right) &= 2b\left[\bar{\Psi} D_8\Lambda^2 - \bar{\Lambda}_2 D_8\Psi\right] \\ -i\mathrm{Tr}\left(\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^9 D_9\lambda\right) &= -2ib\left[\bar{\Psi} D_9\Lambda^2 + \bar{\Lambda}_2 D_9\Psi\right] \end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

In deriving the previous equations we have used the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &B_6^T = -B_6 \quad : \quad B_6\Gamma^0_{(6)} = \Gamma^0_{(6)} B_6 \quad ; \quad (\Gamma^0_{(6)})^T = \Gamma^0_{(6)} \\ &\Gamma^{0\dagger}_{(6)} = \Gamma^0_{(6)} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^{i\dagger}_{(6)} = -\Gamma^i_{(6)} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\dagger}_{(6)}\Gamma^0_{(6)} = \Gamma^0_{(6)}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}_{(6)} \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

The terms in Eq. (169) reproduce the kinetic terms of the fermions in Eq. (42), while the four terms in the previous equation reproduce the Yukawa terms in the last line of Eq. (42) provided that we make the following identifications:

$$c^* a\left(A_6 + iA_7\right) = \sqrt{2}A_2 \quad ; \quad A_8 + iA_9 = \sqrt{2}A_3 \tag{172}$$

with $ac^* = 1$. A_2 and A_3 are connected to the variables Z^i and $\bar{Z}_i$ through Eqs. (131).

In conclusion, if we start from the following ten dimensional Lagrangian:

$$L_{10} = 2\mathrm{Tr}\left(-\frac{1}{4}F_{MN}F^{MN} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^M D_M\lambda\right) \tag{173}$$

we reproduce the six-dimensional Lagrangian in Eq. (42).

F $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry transformations

In this appendix we prove that the following six dimensional actions:

$$\mathcal{S}_g = 2\int d^6x\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{c=1}^{3}\mathcal{D}_c^2 - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i\right] \tag{174}$$

for the gauge sector living on the D5 branes, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_m &= \int d^6x\left[\epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a - i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{c+2})^a{}_b w^{jb}\right. \\ &+ \left.\sqrt{2}gib\left(\bar{\mu}_a(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b + (\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{175}$$

for the twisted matter, are invariant under the following $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A^{\hat{\mu}} &= \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \Lambda^i - \bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \epsilon^i\right) \quad ; \quad \delta \mathcal{D}^c = \frac{1}{2}(\tau^c)^i{}_j \left(D_{\hat{\mu}} \bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \epsilon^j + \bar{\epsilon}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D_{\hat{\mu}} \Lambda^j\right) \\ \delta \Lambda^i &= \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\ \epsilon^i + i\mathcal{D}^i{}_j \epsilon^j \quad ; \quad \delta \bar{\Lambda}_i = -\bar{\epsilon}_i F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} - i\bar{\epsilon}_j \mathcal{D}^j{}_i \end{aligned} \tag{176}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta w^{ia} &= -\sqrt{2}\, b\, \epsilon^{ij} \bar{\epsilon}_j \mu^a \quad &; \quad \delta \bar{w}_{ia} = -\sqrt{2}\, b\, \bar{\mu}_a \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^j \\ \delta \mu^a &= -i\sqrt{2}\, b\, \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \epsilon^i \epsilon_{ij} (D_{\hat{\mu}} w^j)^a \quad &; \quad \delta \bar{\mu}_a = -i\sqrt{2}\, b\, (D_{\hat{\mu}} \bar{w}_j)_a \epsilon^{ji} \bar{\epsilon}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^i{}_j \equiv \mathcal{D}^c (\tau^c)^i{}_j$ and $\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}, \Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}]$.

Before showing the supersymmetry of the previous actions let us discuss some properties of the parameter of the supersymmetry transformation ϵ^i. It is a chiral fermion with the same chirality of the gaugino. This property follows from the requirement that, if the gaugino is a chiral fermion, also its supersymmetry variation must be a chiral fermion. This means that:

$$0 = \delta \left(\frac{1 - b\Gamma_7}{2}\right) \Lambda^i = \frac{1}{4} F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \left(\frac{1 - b\Gamma_7}{2}\right) \epsilon^i + i\mathcal{D}^i{}_j \left(\frac{1 - b\Gamma_7}{2}\right) \epsilon^j \tag{178}$$

which implies:

$$\left(\frac{1 - b\Gamma_7}{2}\right) \epsilon^i = 0 \tag{179}$$

that is the same chirality condition imposed on the Λ^i. For the same reason as before it must also satisfy the relation (104) as the gaugino. We get in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \left(B_6 \Lambda^i + ac\epsilon_{ij} (\Lambda^j)^*\right) &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} (\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^* \left(B_6 \epsilon^i + ac\epsilon_{ij} (\epsilon^j)^*\right) \\ &+ i\mathcal{D}^i{}_j \left(B_6 \epsilon^j + ac\epsilon_{jk} (\epsilon^k)^*\right) \end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

that implies

$$B_6 \epsilon^i = -ac\epsilon_{ij} (\epsilon^j)^* \tag{181}$$

In deriving Eq. (180) we have used the transformation properties of Λ^*:

$$\delta (\Lambda^i)^* = \frac{1}{2} F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} (\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^* (\epsilon^i)^* - i\mathcal{D}^j{}_i (\epsilon^j)^* \tag{182}$$

where we have used that $\mathcal{D}^\dagger = \mathcal{D}$ which implies $(\mathcal{D}^*)^i{}_j = \mathcal{D}^j{}_i$, the relation:

$$B_6 \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = (\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^* B_6 \tag{183}$$

which is valid because in our representation of the Dirac matrices $\Gamma^{2,4}$ are purely imaginary, while the other Γ-matrices are real, and the identity:

$$(\tau^c)^i{}_j = -\epsilon_{jm}(\tau^c)^m{}_l \epsilon^{li} \tag{184}$$

Let us now start by analysing the susy-invariance of the gauge action in Eq. (174). The invariance under the supersymmetry transformations of the action in Eq. (174) without the auxiliary field has been shown in Ref. [24] [5] . Here we consider only the additional contribution given by the presence of the auxiliary fields. We get the following extra contributions to the variation of the action in Eq. (174):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S_g^D &= 2\int d^6x \mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D^i{}_j\epsilon^j\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j + \bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j\right)\mathcal{D}^i{}_j\right.\\ &\left.+\mathcal{D}^c\delta\mathcal{D}^c\right] = 2\int d^6x \mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D^i{}_j\epsilon^j\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{185}$$

that is a total derivative. This implies that the action in Eq. (174) is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations in Eq. (176). In the last step in Eq. (185) we have used the supersymmetry transformation of the auxiliary field in Eq. (176).

Let us consider now the matter sector that is described by the following Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{59} &= \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a - i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a - g\bar{w}_{ia}\sum_{c=1}^{3}(\tau^c)^i{}_j(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{c+2})^a{}_b w^{jb}\\ &+ \sqrt{2}gib\left[\bar{\mu}_a(\hat{\Lambda}^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b + (\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\hat{\Lambda}}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right]\end{aligned} \tag{186}$$

Let us start evaluating the variation of the various terms present in the previous Lagrangian. The variation of the kinetic term for the scalars is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta\left[\epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a\right] = -ig\epsilon^{ij}\bar{w}_{ia}\,\delta(A^{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^b + \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\delta\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a\\ &+i\,g\epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a\delta(A^{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b w_{jb} + \epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}\delta w_j)^a\\ &= \frac{g}{2}\bar{w}_{ia}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j - \bar{\Lambda}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j\right)^a{}_b(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^i)^b - \frac{g}{2}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a\left(\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j - \bar{\Lambda}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j\right)^a{}_b w^{ib}\\ &+\sqrt{2}\,b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{\mu})_a\epsilon^j\epsilon_{ji}(D^{\hat{\mu}}w^i)^a - \sqrt{2}\,b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}\bar{\epsilon}_j(D^{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a\end{aligned} \tag{187}$$

---

[5] See also Section 9 of Ref. [25] for more details.

while the variation of the kinetic term for the fermions is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\left[-i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a\right] = -i\delta\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a + g\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\delta(A_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b\mu^b - i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\delta\mu)^a\\
&= -\sqrt{2}\,b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\{\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\right]\right)(D_{\hat{\nu}}\mu)^a\\
&-\sqrt{2}\,b\,\bar{\mu}_a\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\{\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\right]\right)\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\nu}}w^j)^a\\
&+\frac{g\,i}{2}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i-\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)^a{}_b\,\mu^b\\
&= \sqrt{2}\,b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i(D^{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a + \frac{ig\,b}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\mu^b - \sqrt{2}\,b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{\mu})_a\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D^{\hat{\mu}}w^j)^a\\
&-\frac{ig\,b}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_bw^{jb} + \frac{g\,i}{2}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i-\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)^a{}_b\,\mu^b\\
&-\sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}](D_{\hat{\nu}}\mu)^a\right] + \sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{\mu}_a\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D^{\hat{\mu}}w^j)^a\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{188}$$

where $[D_{\hat{\mu}}, D_{\hat{\nu}}] = igF_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$. Summing the two previous variations we see that the two last terms in Eq. (187) cancel with two equal terms in Eq. (188) and we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\left[\epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a - i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a\right] = \frac{g}{2}\bar{w}_{ia}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j-\bar{\Lambda}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j\right)^a{}_b\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^i)^b\\
&-\frac{g}{2}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a\left(\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j-\bar{\Lambda}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j\right)^a{}_b\,w^{ib} + \frac{ig\,b}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\mu^b\\
&-\frac{ig\,b}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_bw^{jb} + \frac{i\,g}{2}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i-\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)^a{}_b\,\mu^b\\
&-\sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}](D_{\hat{\nu}}\mu)^a\right] + \sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{\mu}_a\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D^{\hat{\mu}}w^j)^a\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{189}$$

The variation of the Yukawa couplings is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{2}gib\delta\left[\bar{\mu}_a(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b + (\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right]\\
&= 2\,g\,(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}w^{kb} + \frac{igb}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}w^{jb}\\
&-\sqrt{2}gb\bar{\mu}_a(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b\epsilon^j\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb} - 2ig\bar{\mu}_a\left((\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\bar{\epsilon}_i - \epsilon^i(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\right)\mu^b\\
&-\frac{igb}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ij}\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\mu^b + \sqrt{2}\,g\,b\,\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ih}\bar{\epsilon}_j(\mathcal{D}^j{}_h)^a{}_b\mu^b\\
&+2\,g\,\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^k\epsilon_{kh}(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^h)^b
\end{aligned}\tag{190}$$

The first and the last term of the previous expression can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\,g\,\left[(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}w^{kb}+\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h\epsilon_{hk}(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^k)^b\right]\\
&=2g\left[(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}-\epsilon^{ji}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h\epsilon_{hk}\right)w^{kb}\right.\\
&+\bar{w}_{ja}\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{ji}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h\epsilon_{hk}-\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}\right)(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^k)^b\\
&-\bar{w}_{ja}\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{ji}D_{\hat{\mu}}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h\epsilon_{hk}+\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}\right)w^{kb}\\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{jk}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_k\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b+(\bar{\Lambda}_k)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{191}$$

Using the following identities which are proved at the end of this section:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^h-\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h=\delta^h_i\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^j-\bar{\Lambda}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^j\right)\quad;\quad\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i+\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i=0\tag{192}$$

we can write the variation of the Yukawa couplings as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{2}gib\delta\left[\bar{\mu}_a(\hat{\Lambda}^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b+(\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\hat{\bar{\Lambda}}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right]=+\sqrt{2}gb\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ih}\bar{\epsilon}_j(\mathcal{D}^j{}_h)^a{}_b\mu^b\\
&-\sqrt{2}gb\bar{\mu}_a(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b\epsilon^j\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}+\frac{g}{2}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_j)_a\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^i\right)^a{}_b-(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)w^{jb}\\
&-\frac{g}{2}\bar{w}_{ja}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^i\right)^a{}_b-(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)(D_{\hat{\mu}}w^j)^b+igb\bar{\mu}_a\left(a_w(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\bar{\epsilon}_i-a^*_w\epsilon^i(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\right)\mu^b\\
&-g\bar{w}_{ja}\left(\epsilon^{ji}D_{\hat{\mu}}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h\epsilon_{hk}+\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\,\epsilon_{hk}\right)w^{hb}\\
&+\frac{igb}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}w^{jb}-\frac{igb}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ij}\bar{\epsilon}_j\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})^a{}_b\mu^b\\
&+g\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{jk}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_k\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b+(\bar{\Lambda}_k)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{193}$$

Summing Eqs. (189) and (193), we see that the first four terms of Eq. (189) cancel with the corresponding terms in Eq. (193) and we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\left[\epsilon^{ij}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\bar{w}_i)_a(D^{\hat{\mu}}w_j)^a-i\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(D_{\hat{\mu}}\mu)^a+\sqrt{2}gib\left(\bar{\mu}_a(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b+(\bar{w}_i)_a\epsilon^{ij}(\bar{\Lambda}_j)^a{}_b\mu^b\right)\right]\\
&=\frac{i}{2}g\bar{\mu}_a\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^i-\bar{\Lambda}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)^a{}_b\,\mu^b-2ig\bar{\mu}_a\left((\Lambda^i)^a{}_b\bar{\epsilon}_i-\epsilon^i(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\right)\mu^b\\
&-\sqrt{2}gb\bar{\mu}_a(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b\epsilon^j\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}+\sqrt{2}gb\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ih}\bar{\epsilon}_j(\mathcal{D}^j{}_h)^a{}_b\mu^b\\
&-g\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\left(D_{\hat{\mu}}(\bar{\Lambda}_i)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^h+\bar{\epsilon}_i\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\Lambda^h\right)^a{}_b\right)\epsilon_{hk}w^{kb}\\
&-\sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{ji}\bar{\epsilon}_i[\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}},\,\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}](D_{\hat{\nu}}\mu)^a\right]+\sqrt{2}\,b\,\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{\mu}_a\epsilon^i\epsilon_{ij}(D^{\hat{\mu}}w^j)^a\right]\\
&+g\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\left[\bar{w}_{ja}\epsilon^{jk}\left(\bar{\epsilon}_k\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(\Lambda^i)^a{}_b+(\bar{\Lambda}_k)^a{}_b\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^i\right)\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{194}$$

The last term of the action to consider is the variation of the term with the auxiliary fields given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-g\delta\left[\bar{w}_{ia}(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_bw^{jb}\right]=+\sqrt{2}gb\bar{\mu}_a\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon^k(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_bw^{jb}+\sqrt{2}gb\bar{w}_{ia}(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b\epsilon^{jk}\bar{\epsilon}_k\mu^b\\
&-g\bar{w}_{ia}\frac{1}{2}(\tau^c)^h{}_k(\tau^c)^i{}_jD_{\hat{\mu}}\left(\bar{\Lambda}_h\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\epsilon^k+\bar{\epsilon}_h\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\Lambda^k\right)w^{jb}
\end{aligned}\tag{195}$$

Using the identity in Eq. 12.21 of Ref. [33]

$$\frac{1}{2}(\tau^c)^h{}_k(\tau^c)^i{}_j = \delta^h_j\delta^i_k - \frac{1}{2}\delta^h_k\delta^i_j = \left(\delta^h_j\delta^i_k - \delta^h_k\delta^i_j\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^h_k\delta^i_j = \epsilon^{hi}\epsilon_{kj} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^h_k\delta^i_j \tag{196}$$

the last term of eq. (195) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}&-g\bar{w}_{ia}\frac{1}{2}(\tau^c)^h{}_k(\tau^c)^i{}_j D_{\hat\mu}\left(\bar\Lambda_h\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^k + \bar\epsilon_h\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\Lambda^k\right)^a{}_b w^{jb}\\ &= g\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ih}D_{\hat\mu}\left(\bar\Lambda_h\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^k + \bar\epsilon_h\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\Lambda^k\right)^a{}_b\epsilon_{kj}w^{jb} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{w}_{ia}D_{\hat\mu}\left(\bar\Lambda_j\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\epsilon^j + \bar\epsilon_j\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\Lambda^j\right)^a{}_b w^{ib}\end{aligned} \tag{197}$$

The first term of the previous equation cancels the fourth line of Eq. (194), while the second term of Eq. (197) is zero according to the second identity written in Eq.(192). Using Eq. (184) we can write the first two terms of eq. (195) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&+\sqrt{2}g\, b\bar\mu_a\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon^k(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b w^{jb} + \sqrt{2}gb\bar{w}_{ia}(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j)^a{}_b\epsilon^{jk}\bar\epsilon_k\mu^b = +\sqrt{2}gb\bar\mu_a\left(\mathcal{D}^i{}_j\right)^a{}_b\epsilon^j\epsilon_{ik}w^{kb}\\ &-\sqrt{2}gb\bar{w}_{ia}\epsilon^{ih}\bar\epsilon_j(D^j{}_h)^a{}_b\mu^b\end{aligned} \tag{198}$$

These two terms cancel the terms in the third line of Eq. (194).

In the last part of this Appendix we first prove the identities written in Eq. (192) and finally that the second line of Eq. (194) is identically zero.

The starting point to prove the Eq.s (192) is the identity:

$$\bar\epsilon_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_n}\Lambda^j = -\bar\epsilon_i B_6^* B_6\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_n}\Lambda^j = -(-)^{\sum_{i=1}^n(\delta_{\hat\mu_i 0}+1)}\bar\epsilon_i B_6^*\Gamma^{T\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_n}B_6\Lambda^j$$

where we have denoted with $\Gamma^{T\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_n}$ the completely antisymmetrized product of the transposed Dirac-matrices and used the identity $B_6\Gamma^{\hat\mu}B_6^{-1} = \Gamma^{\hat\mu *}$ together with:

$$\Gamma^{\hat\mu\dagger} = (-)^{\delta_{\hat\mu 0}+1}\Gamma^{\hat\mu} \Rightarrow \Gamma^{\hat\mu *} = (-)^{\delta_{\hat\mu 0}+1}\Gamma^{\hat\mu T} \tag{199}$$

Furthermore, observing that $\Gamma^0 B_6^* = B_6^*\Gamma^{0T}$ and using, both for the gaugino end the susy parameters, the Eq.s (33) and (181) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\epsilon_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_{2n-1}}\Lambda^j &= (-)^{n-2}\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon^{jl}\bar\Lambda_l\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_{2n+1}}\epsilon^k\\ \bar\epsilon_i\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_{2n}}\Lambda^j &= (-)^{n-1}\epsilon_{ik}\epsilon^{jl}\bar\Lambda_l\Gamma^{\hat\mu_1\ldots\hat\mu_{2n}+2}\epsilon^j\end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

with $n = 0\ldots 4$. Along the same lines we can prove an analogous relation where the role of the gaugino and susy parameters are exchanged. Eq.s (192) can be easily derived by considering the case $n = 0$ of the first of the two previous identities.

Let us now consider the following Fierz identity valid for two generic six-dimensional spinors, here denoted with χ and ψ, having the same chirality [30]:

$$\psi^A\bar\chi_B = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\bar\chi\Gamma^{\hat\mu}\psi\right)\Gamma_{\hat\mu}{}^A{}_B + \frac{1}{48}\left(\bar\chi\Gamma^{\hat\mu\hat\nu\hat\rho}\psi\right)\Gamma_{\hat\mu\hat\nu\hat\rho}{}^A{}_B \tag{201}$$

This identity allow us to write:

$$\bar{\mu}_A \Lambda^{iA} \bar{\epsilon}_{i\,B} \mu^B = -\frac{1}{16}(\bar{\mu}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\mu)(\bar{\epsilon}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \Lambda^i)\mathrm{Tr}[\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}}] - \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\mu}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}\mu)(\bar{\epsilon}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}\hat{\delta}} \Lambda^i)\mathrm{Tr}[\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}\Gamma_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}\hat{\delta}}] \tag{202}$$

where we have used the condition $\mathrm{Tr}[\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\Gamma_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}\hat{\delta}}] = 0$, and

$$\bar{\mu}_A \epsilon^{iA} \bar{\Lambda}_{i\,B} \mu^B = -\frac{1}{16}(\bar{\mu}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\mu)(\bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \epsilon^i)\mathrm{Tr}[\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}}\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}}] - \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\mu}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}\mu)(\bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}\hat{\delta}} \epsilon^i)\mathrm{Tr}[\Gamma_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}\Gamma_{\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}\hat{\delta}}] \tag{203}$$

Subtracting Eq.s (202) and (203) and using the first identity in Eq.(200) with $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, we get:

$$\bar{\mu}_A \Lambda^{iA} \bar{\epsilon}_{i\,B} \mu^B - \bar{\chi}_A \epsilon^{iA} \bar{\Lambda}_{i\,B} \chi^B = -(\bar{\mu}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\mu)(\bar{\Lambda}_i \Gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \epsilon^i) \tag{204}$$

which prove the vanishing of the second line of Eq. (194).

G Calculation of correlators

In this Appendix we compute in detail various three-point functions in order to check some of the terms of the Lagrangians written in Sect. (3).

Two fermions in the adjoint and a gauge field

The first amplitude that we consider is the one involving two fermions in the adjoint representation of the gauge group $U(N_5)$ and the gauge field $A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}$:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{\Psi}^{(5)} A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}} \Psi^{(5)}} = C_0 \int \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3} dx_i}{dV} \langle V^{(-1/2)}_{\bar{\Psi}^{(5)}}(x_1)\, V^{(-1)}_{A_{\hat{\mu}}}(x_2)\, V^{(-1/2)}_{\Psi^{(5)}}(x_3) \rangle \tag{205}$$

where C_0 is defined after eq.(65) and:

$$dV = \frac{dx_a dx_b dx_c}{x_{ab} x_{ac} x_{bc}} \quad ; \quad x_{ab} = x_a - x_b. \tag{206}$$

The amplitude (205) can easily be computed using for the fields $\Psi^{(5)}$ and $A_{\hat{\mu}}$ the vertex operators defined in sec.[5.1] and observing that the vertex for $\bar{\Psi}^{(5)}$ is given by the Eq.(54) with Ψ^{α} replaced by the doublet given in the Eq. (106). This latter definition follows from the observation that, in our conventions, the complex-conjugation of the $SU(2)$ doublets doesn't change the four dimensional chirality associated to the compact directions transverse to the D5-brane and, being the ten-dimensional chirality fixed by the GSO, it doesn't change also the six dimensional one. It follows, that the spin fields associated to the vertex $\bar{\Psi}^{\alpha}$ must have the same chirality as the ones associated to the field Ψ^{α} .

The result is:

$$\begin{aligned}&A^{\bar{\Psi}^{(5)}A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}\Psi^{(5)}} = i2\sqrt{2}g_5 \left(\bar{\Psi}^{(5)\,A\alpha}\right)^c{}_a(p)(A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k)\left(\Psi^{(5)\,B\beta}\right)^b{}_c(q)[x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}]\\ &\times[\langle S_A(x_1)\psi^{\hat{\mu}}(x_2)S_B(x_3)\rangle][\langle S_\alpha(x_1)S_\beta(x_3)\rangle][\langle e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_1)}e^{-\phi(x_2)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_3)}\rangle]\\ &\times\langle \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}p\cdot X(x_1)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k\cdot X(x_2)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}q\cdot X(x_3)}\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{207}$$

Using the following correlators [27,31]:

$$\langle S_A(x_1)\psi^{\hat{\mu}}(x_2)S_B(x_3)\rangle = -\tfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\mu}})_{AB}x_{12}^{-1/2}x_{13}^{-1/4}x_{23}^{-1/2}$$

$$\langle e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_1)}e^{-\phi(x_2)}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_3)}\rangle = x_{12}^{-1/2}x_{13}^{-1/4}x_{23}^{-1/2} \quad ; \quad \langle S_\alpha(x_1)S_\beta(x_3)\rangle = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}x_{13}^{-1/2}$$

and taking into account that the correlator involving the bosonic coordinate x gives 1 because the momentum is conserved and the three states are massless, we get [6]:

$$\begin{aligned}&A^{\bar{\Psi}^{(5)}A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}\Psi^{(5)}} = -2g_5 \left(\bar{\Psi}^{(5)\,A\alpha}\right)^c{}_a(p)(A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k)\left(\Psi^{(5)\,B\beta}\right)^b{}_c(q)(\bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\mu}})_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\\ &= -2g_5\left(0 \quad (\bar{\Psi}^{(5)\,A\alpha})^c{}_a(p)\right)(A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\Sigma^{\hat{\mu}})^{AB}\\ (\bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\mu}})_{AB} & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}(\Psi^{(5)\,B\beta})^b{}_c(q)\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\\ &= -2g_5\mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\Psi}^{(5)\,\alpha}(p)A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}(k)\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}_{(6)}\Psi^{(5)}_\alpha(q)] = 2g_5\mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\Psi}(p)A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}(k)\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}_{(6)}\Psi^{(5)}]\end{aligned}\tag{208}$$

where we have introduced the six dimensional Weyl-spinors and Dirac matrices so defined [32]:

$$\Psi^{(5)\,\beta} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(5)\,B\beta}\\ 0\end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^{\hat{\mu}}\\ \bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\mu}} & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

being $\Sigma^{\hat{\mu}}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\mu}}$ 4×4 matrices satisfying the anticommutation algebra $\left\{\Sigma^{\hat{\mu}}, \bar{\Sigma}^{\hat{\nu}}\right\} = -2\eta^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ and $A, B = 1, \ldots 4$. Notice that the representation of Dirac matrices which we are using in this section differs from the one adopted in the field theory calculation of the effective action of our system. However, this difference will not generate any confusion because the final expression of the string amplitudes will be always written in a form which is independent of the chosen representation of the Dirac matrices.

Eq. (208) reproduces the correct coupling of two adjoint fermions with a gauge field, written for example in Eq. (32).

A twisted scalar, a twisted fermion and a D5 gaugino

The next string amplitude which we are going to consider is the one involving the interaction between a twisted scalar, a twisted fermion and the gaugino of the gauge theory living on the D5-brane:

$$A^{\bar{\mu}\Lambda^{(5)}w} = C_0\int\frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 dx_i}{dV} < V_{\bar{\mu}}^{-(\frac{1}{2})}(x_1)V_{\Lambda^{(5)}}^{-(\frac{1}{2})}(x_2)V_w^{(-1)}(x_3) > \tag{209}$$

---

[6] Here and in the following we omit writing the delta-function of momentum conservation.

We need to compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\bar\mu\Lambda w} =& \sqrt{2} g_5 x_{12} x_{13} x_{23} (\bar\mu_{\hat A})^u_a(p) \left(\Lambda^{(5)\,\hat B}{}_{\dot\alpha}\right)^a{}_b (k)(w^{\dot\gamma})^b_u(q)\epsilon_{\dot\gamma\dot\beta} < e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_1)} e^{-\phi(x_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_3)} > \\
&\times \;\; < S^{\hat A}(x_1) S_{\hat B}(x_2) >< S^{\dot\alpha}(x_2) S^{\dot\beta}(x_3) >< \bar\Delta(x_2)\Delta(x_3) > \\
&\times \;\; < e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}p_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(x_1)} e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(x_2)} e^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}q_{\hat\mu}X^{\hat\mu}(x_3)} >
\end{aligned}
\qquad (210)
$$

Using the following correlators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
< S^{\hat A}(x_1) S_{\hat B}(x_3) >\sim \frac{i\delta^A_B}{(x_{13})^{1/4}} \quad ; \quad < S^{\dot\alpha}(x_1) S^{\dot\beta}(x_2) >\sim -\frac{\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}}{(x_{12})^{1/2}} \\
< e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_1)} e^{-\phi(x_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_3)} >= \frac{1}{x_{12}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta_3} x_{13}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_3-\Delta_2} z_{23}^{\Delta_2+\Delta_3-\Delta_1}}
\end{aligned}
\qquad (211)
$$

where $\Delta_1 = \Delta_3 = \frac{3}{8}$ is the conformal dimension of $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(z)}$ and $\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ is the conformal dimension of $e^{-\phi(z)}$, we get

$$
A^{\bar\mu\Lambda w} = \sqrt{2} g_5 i \left[\bar\mu^u_a(p) \left(\Lambda^{(5)i}\right)^a{}_b (k)\epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^b_u(q)\right]
$$

A twisted scalar, a twisted fermion and a D9 gaugino

It is also enlightening to repeat the previous calculation in the case of the gaugino living in the world-volume of the $D9$-brane. The result is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\bar\mu\Lambda^{(9)}w} &= C_0 \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{dx_i}{dV} < V_w^{(59)}(x_3) V_{\Lambda^{(9)}}(x_2) V^{95}_{\bar\mu}(x_1) > \\
&= -i\sqrt{2}\, g_9 e^{ik_m y_0^m}\, \bar\mu^u_a(p) \left(\Lambda^{(9)i}(k^{\hat\mu},\, k^{\hat m})\right)^v{}_u \epsilon_{ij}(w^j)^a_v(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

where y_0^m is the position of the $D5$ in the last two tori and we have used the correlator:

$$
\langle \Delta(x_1) e^{ik_{\hat m}X^{\hat m}} \bar\Delta(x_3)\rangle = x_{12}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m} x_{13}^{-1/2+\pi\alpha' k_m k^m} x_{23}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m} e^{ik_m y_0^m} \qquad (212)
$$

that is consistent with the general formula for the correlator of three operators A, B, C with conformal dimension $\Delta_A, \Delta_B, \Delta_C$ respectively:

$$
\langle A(x_1) B(x_2) C(x_3)\rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{\Delta_A+\Delta_B-\Delta_C} x_{13}^{\Delta_A+\Delta_C-\Delta_B} x_{23}^{\Delta_B+\Delta_C-\Delta_A}} \qquad (213)
$$

Remember that in our case $\Delta_A = \Delta_C = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\Delta_B = \pi\alpha' k_m k^m$. By performing the Fourier transformations along the compact momenta, according to the relation:

$$
\Lambda^{(9)i}(k^{\hat\mu}, y_0) = \sum_{k_{\hat m}} \Lambda^{(9)i}(k^{\hat\mu},\, k^{\hat m}) e^{ik_{\hat m} y_0^{\hat m}} \qquad (214)
$$

we get:

$$A^{\bar{\mu}\Lambda^{(9)}w} = -\sqrt{2} g_9 i\, \bar{\mu}^u_a(p) \left(\Lambda^{(9)i}(k^{\hat{\mu}},\, y_0)\right)^v{}_u \,\epsilon_{ij} (w^j)^a_v(q) \tag{215}$$

Finally by observing that $g_9 \Lambda^{(9)}_{d=10} = \tilde{g}_9 \Lambda^{(9)}_{d=6}$ we reproduce, from a string calculus, the interaction term written in the fourth line of Eq. (41)

Two twisted scalars and a D9 gauge field

The last amplitude that we consider is the three-point correlator involving the gauge field living on the ten dimensional world-volume of the $D9$ and two twisted scalar fields living on the six-dimensional world-volume of the D5 brane.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A^{(9)}_M w} = C_0 \int \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 dx_i}{dV} \langle V^{(-1)}_{\bar{w}}(x_1)\, V^{(0)}_{A^{(9)}_M}(x_2)\, V^{(-1)}_{w}(x_3)\rangle \tag{216}$$

Using the vertex operators introduced in Sect. 5) we have to compute the following quantity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A^{(9)}_M w} &= g_9 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\alpha'}}\, \bar{w}^u_{\dot{\alpha}a}(p)\ A^a{}_{Mb}(k)\ w^b_{\dot{\beta}u}(q) \times \langle \left(\bar{\Delta} S^{\dot{\alpha}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} p_{\hat{\mu}} X^{\hat{\mu}}}\right)(x_1) \\
&\times \left(\left[\partial X^M + i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_N \psi^N\, \psi^M\right]\, \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_N X^N}\right)(x_2) \left(\Delta S^{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} q_{\hat{\mu}} X^{\hat{\mu}}}\right)(x_3)\rangle \\
&\equiv g_9 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\alpha'}}\, \bar{w}^u_{\dot{\alpha}a}(p)\ A^a{}_{Mb}(k)\ w^b_{\dot{\beta}u}(q)\, (x_{12}\, x_{13}\, x_{23}) \times \mathcal{C}^{M\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}
\end{aligned} \tag{217}$$

We start with the gauge polarization $M = \hat{\mu}$. In this case the previous correlator reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{\hat{\mu}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= \langle \left(\bar{\Delta} S^{\dot{\alpha}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} p_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}}\right)(x_1) \left(\partial X^{\hat{\mu}} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_m X^m}\right)(x_2) \left(\Delta S^{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} q_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}}\right)(x_3)\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_1)}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_3)}\rangle \times \langle \bar{\Delta}(x_1)\ \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_m X^m(x_2)}\ \Delta(x_3)\rangle \times \langle S^{\dot{\alpha}}(x_1) S^{\dot{\beta}}(x_3)\rangle \\
&\times \langle \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} p_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(x_1)}\ \partial X^{\hat{\mu}}(x_2) \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_{\hat{\lambda}} X^{\hat{\lambda}}(x_2)} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} q_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(x_3)}\rangle \\
&= x_{13}^{-1} \times x_{12}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m} x_{13}^{-1/2+\pi\alpha' k_m k^m} x_{23}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m}\, e^{i k_m y_0^m}\ x_{13}^{-1/2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \\
&\times x_{12}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}}} x_{13}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}} x_{23}^{2\pi\alpha' k_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}} (i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}) \left[\frac{p^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{12}} - \frac{q^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{23}}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{218}$$

We can rewrite Eq. (218) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{\hat{\mu}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}\ e^{i k_m y_0^m} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\, x_{12}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}}} \\
&\times x_{13}^{-2+\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}}\, x_{23}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 2\pi\alpha' k_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}} \left[\frac{p^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{12}} - \frac{q^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{23}}\right] \\
&= i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}\ e^{i k_m y_0^m} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left[\frac{p^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{12} x_{13} x_{23}} + \frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}}{x_{13}^2 x_{23}}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{219}$$

where we have used the momentum conservation $p_{\hat{\mu}} + q_{\hat{\mu}} + k_{\hat{\mu}} = 0$ that implies, together with the mass shell conditions $p_{\hat{\mu}} p^{\hat{\mu}} = q_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}} = 0$, the following conditions: $2\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}} = -2\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}} = -2\alpha' q_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}} = \alpha' k_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}}$. We have also used the mass-shell condition for the vector field: $k_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}} + k_m k^m = 0$. Notice that the piece proportional to $k_{\hat{\mu}}$ does not have the right dependence on the Koba-Nielsen variables and therefore it must be cancelled out in the final result.

We now consider the polarization of the vector field to be $M = m$. In this case the amplitude written in Eq. (217) is the sum of two pieces. The first one is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1^{m\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= \langle \Big(\bar{\Delta} S^{\dot{\alpha}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} p_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}}\Big)(x_1) \Big(\partial X^m \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_n X^n}\Big)(x_2) \Big(\Delta S^{\dot{\beta}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} q_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}}\Big)(x_3)\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_1)}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_3)}\rangle\ \langle \bar{\Delta}(x_1)\ \partial X^m(x_2) \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_n X^n(x_2)}\ \Delta(x_3)\rangle\ \langle S^{\dot{\alpha}}(x_1) S^{\dot{\beta}}(x_3)\rangle \\
&\times \langle \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} p_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(x_1)}\ \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_{\hat{\lambda}} X^{\hat{\lambda}}(x_2)} \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} q_{\hat{\nu}} X^{\hat{\nu}}(x_3)}\rangle \\
&= x_{13}^{-1}\ \frac{i\sqrt{\pi\alpha'} k^m e^{ik_{\hat{m}} y_0^m}(x_{12} + x_{32})}{\sqrt{2} x_{12}^{\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 1} x_{13}^{1/2 - \pi\alpha' k_m k^m}\ x_{23}^{\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 1}}\ x_{13}^{-1/2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\ x_{12}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} k^{\hat{\mu}}}\ x_{13}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}}\ x_{23}^{2\pi\alpha' k_{\hat{\mu}} q^{\hat{\mu}}} \\
&= \quad \frac{i\sqrt{\pi\alpha'} k^m e^{ik_m y_0^m}(x_{12} + x_{32}) \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}}{\sqrt{2} x_{12} x_{13}^2 x_{23}}
\end{aligned} \tag{220}$$

The only correlator that needs some explanation is the one containing the twist fields and that is equal to:

$$\langle \bar{\Delta}(x_1) \Big(\partial X^n \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_m X^m}\Big)(x_2) \Delta(x_3)\rangle = \frac{(x_{12} - x_{23})\,\big(i\sqrt{\pi\alpha'} k^n e^{ik_m y_0^m}\big)}{\sqrt{2} x_{12}^{\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 1} x_{13}^{1/2 - \pi\alpha' k_m k^m}\ x_{23}^{\pi\alpha' k_m k^m + 1}} \tag{221}$$

in order to get the proper conformal dependence of the total correlator. There are several reasons why we think that this choice is the correct one. If we assume the correlator in Eq. (212), then the previous one can be obtained from it by taking the derivative with respect to x_2 of Eq. (212). The operator $\partial X^n \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_m X^m}$ is *not* a good conformal operator, but the operator $A_M \partial X^M \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'} k_M X^M}$ with $A_M k^M = 0$ is a good conformal operator and therefore, when used in a correlator, one must obtain the proper dependence on the Koba-Nielsen variables. The choice in Eq. (221) is symmetric under the exchange of 1 and 3.

The second one is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_2^{m\dot\alpha\dot\beta} &= \langle\left(\bar\Delta S^{\dot\alpha}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}p_{\hat\nu}X^{\hat\nu}}\right)(x_1)\left(i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_n\psi^n\psi^m\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat l}X^{\hat l}}\right)(x_2)\\
&\times \left(\Delta S^{\dot\beta}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}q_{\hat\nu}X^{\hat\nu}}\right)(x_3)\rangle\\
&= i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_n\langle\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_1)}\;\mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(x_3)}\rangle\;\langle\bar\Delta(x_1)\;\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat l}X^{\hat l}(x_2)}\;\Delta(x_3)\rangle\\
&\times \langle S^{\dot\alpha}(x_1)\;\psi^n(x_2)\psi^m(x_2)\;S^{\dot\beta}(x_3)\rangle\langle\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}p_{\hat\nu}X^{\hat\nu}(x_1)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_{\hat\lambda}X^{\hat\lambda}(x_2)}\mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}q_{\hat\nu}X^{\hat\nu}(x_3)}\rangle\\
&= i\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}k_n\;x_{13}^{-1}\times x_{12}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m}x_{13}^{-1/2+\pi\alpha' k_m k^m}x_{23}^{-\pi\alpha' k_m k^m}\,e^{ik_m y_0^m}\times x_{13}^{1/2}x_{12}^{-1}x_{23}^{-1}\\
&\times [-\frac{1}{2}(\bar\sigma^{nm})^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}]\,x_{12}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}}x_{13}^{2\pi\alpha' p_{\hat\mu}q^{\hat\mu}}x_{23}^{2\pi\alpha' k_{\hat\mu}q^{\hat\mu}}\\
&= -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}\,k_n\,(\bar\sigma^{nm})^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}x_{12}^{-1}x_{13}^{-1}x_{23}^{-1} \qquad (222)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have again used the momentum conservation and the mass shell conditions.

The final result is the sum of the three contributions in Eqs. (219), (220) and (222) that is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\bar w A_M^{(9)} w} &= 2\,i\,g_9\bar w^u_{\dot\alpha a}(p)w^b_{\dot\beta u}(q)e^{ik_m y_0^m}\,(x_{12}\,x_{13}\,x_{23})\\
&\times \left\{\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\left[A^a_{\hat\mu b}(k)\left(\frac{p^{\hat\mu}}{x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}}+\frac{k^{\hat\mu}}{x_{13}^2x_{23}}\right)+A^a_{mb}(k)k^m\left(\frac{x_{12}-x_{23}}{2x_{12}x_{13}^2x_{23}}\right)\right]\right.\\
&- \left.A^a_{mb}(k)k_n\frac{(\bar\sigma^{nm})^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}}{2x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}}\right\} \qquad (223)
\end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that the previous expression is gauge invariant. In fact, if we make the substitutions:

$$A_{\hat\mu}\to k_{\hat\mu}\quad;\quad A_m\to k_m \qquad (224)$$

it is easy to check that the last term in Eq. (223) vanishes, while the term between square brackets becomes:

$$k_{\hat\mu}\left(\frac{p^{\hat\mu}}{x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}}+\frac{k^{\hat\mu}}{x_{13}^2x_{23}}\right)+k_m k^m\left(\frac{x_{12}-x_{23}}{2x_{12}x_{13}^2x_{23}}\right) \qquad (225)$$

Using the mass shell condition $k_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}+k_m k^m=0$ and $k_{\hat\mu}p^{\hat\mu}=-\frac{1}{2}k_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}$ we can rewrite the previous equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&k_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}\left[-\frac{1}{2x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}}+\frac{1}{x_{13}^2x_{23}}-\frac{x_{12}-x_{23}}{2x_{12}x_{13}^2x_{23}}\right]\\
&=k_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}\left[-\frac{1}{2x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}}+\frac{1}{2x_{13}^2x_{23}}+\frac{1}{2x_{13}^2x_{12}}\right]\\
&=k_{\hat\mu}k^{\hat\mu}\frac{-x_{13}+x_{12}+x_{23}}{2x_{13}^2x_{23}x_{12}}=0 \qquad (226)
\end{aligned}$$

that proves the gauge invariance of the correlator.

Using the condition:

$$k^M A_M = k^{\hat{\mu}} A_{\hat{\mu}} + k^m A_m = 0$$

we can rewrite Eq. (223) as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A_M^{(9)} w} = i\, \bar{w}^u_{\dot{\alpha} a}(p) w^b_{\dot{\beta} u}(q) e^{i k_m y_0^m} \left[\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (A^{(9)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k)(p^{\hat{\mu}} - q^{\hat{\mu}}) - (A^{(9)}_m)^a{}_b(k) k_n (\bar{\sigma}^{nm})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right]$$

that has the correct dependence on the Koba-Nielsen variables. Finally, by performing the Fourier transformation as shown after Eq. (213), we get an amplitude which is evaluated at the position of the $D5$-brane in the last two tori :

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A_M^{(9)} w} = i\, \bar{w}^u_{\dot{\alpha} a}(p) w^b_{\dot{\beta} u}(q) \left[\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (A^{(9)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k_{\hat{\mu}}, y_0)(p^{\hat{\mu}} - q^{\hat{\mu}}) - (A^{(9)}_m)^a{}_b(k_{\hat{\mu}}, y_0) k_n (\bar{\sigma}^{nm})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right] \tag{227}$$

The previous calculation can be easily extended to the interaction of two twisted scalars with the gauge field living in the world-volume of the $D5$-brane. Since the vertex of the gauge field $A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}}$ depends only on the six dimensional momentum, one has that the corresponding amplitude contains only one term which is equal and opposite in sign, due to the different ordering of the twisted fields, to the one in Eq. (219) taken at $k_m = 0$. Using the trasversality condition $k^{\hat{\mu}} A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}} = 0$ we arrive at the following expression:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bar{w} A_M^{(5)} w} = -i\, \bar{w}^u_{\dot{\alpha} a}(p) w^b_{\dot{\beta} u}(q) (A^{(5)}_{\hat{\mu}})^a{}_b(k)(p^{\hat{\mu}} - q^{\hat{\mu}}) \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$$

which is in agreement with the one obtained from the kinetic term of the twisted scalar in the field theoretical approach.

References

[1] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *Nonlinear Electrodynamics from Quantized Strings*, Phys. Lett. **B163** (1985) 123.

[2] A. A. Tseytlin, *Renormalization of Möbius Infinities and Partition Function Representation for String Theory Effective Action*, Phys. Lett. **B202** (1988) 81.

[3] A. Abouelsaood, C. G. Callan Jr., C.R. Nappi and S.A. Yost, *Open Strings in Background Gauge Fields*, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987) 599.

[4] C. Bachas, *A way to break supersymmetry*, `arXiv:hep-th/9503030`.

[5] C. Bachas and M. Porrati, *Pair creation of open strings in an electric field*, Phys. Lett. **B 296** (1992) 77, `arXiv:hep-th/9209032`.

[6] M. Bertolini, M. Billò, A. Lerda, J. F. Morales and Rodolfo Russo, *Brane world effective actions for D-branes with fluxes*, Nucl. Phys. **B743** (2006) 1, `arXiv:hep-th/0512067`.

[7] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, S. Kachru and T. Weigand, *D-brane Instantons in Type II String Theory*, Annu. Rev. Nuc. Part. Sci **59** (2006), `arXiv:0902.3251 [hep-th]`.

[8] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust and S. Stieberger, *Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes*, Phys. Rept. **445** (2007) 1, `arXiv:hep-th/0610327`.

[9] G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibanez, F. Quevedo and A. M. Uranga, *D-Branes at Singularities: A Bottom-Up Approach to the String Embedding of the Standard Model*, JHEP **0008** (2000) 002, `arXiv:hep-th/0005067`.

[10] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, *Computing Yukawa couplings from magnetized extra dimensions*, JHEP **0405** (2004) 079, `arXiv:hep-th/0404229`.

[11] J. P. Conlon, A. Maharana and F. Quevedo, *Wave Functions and Yukawa Couplings in Local String Compactifications*, JHEP **0809** (2008) 104, `arXiv:0807.0789 [hep-th]`.

[12] P. Di Vecchia, A. Liccardo, R. Marotta and F. Pezzella, *Kähler Metrics and Yukawa Couplings in Magnetized Brane Models*, JHEP **0903** (2009) 029, `arXiv:0810.5509 [hep-th]`.

[13] F. Marchesano, P. McGuirk and G. Shiu, *Open String Wavefunctions in Warped Compactifications*, JHEP **0904** (2009) 095, `arXiv:0812.2247 [hep-th]`.

[14] H. Abe, K. S. Choi, T. Kobayashi and H. Ohki, *Three generation magnetized orbifold models* Nucl. Phys. **B814** (2009) 265, `arXiv:0812.3534 [hep-th]`.

[15] I. Antoniadis, A. Kumar and B. Panda, *Fermion Wavefunctions in Magnetized Branes: Theta identities and Yukawa couplings*, Nucl. Phys. **B823** (2009) 116, `arXiv:0904.0910 [hep-th]`.

[16] P. G. Camara and F. Marchesano, *Open string wavefunctions in flux compactifications*, JHEP **0910** (2009) 017, `arXiv:0906.3033 [hep-th]`.

[17] P. G. Camara, C. Condeescu, E. Dudas and M. Lennek, *Non-perturbative Vacuum Destabilization and D-brane Dynamics*, `arXiv:1003.5805 [hep-th]`.

[18] P. G. Cámara, L. R. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, *Flux-induced SUSY-breaking soft terms on D7-D3 brane systems*, Nucl. Phys. **B708** (2005) 268, `arXiv:hep-th/0408036`.

[19] E. Pomoni and L. Rastelli, *Intersecting flavor branes*, `arXiv:1002.0006 [hep-th]`.

[20] P. Di Vecchia, A. Liccardo, R. Marotta and F. Pezzella, *Kähler Metrics: String vs Field Theoretical Approach*, Fortsch. Phys. **57** (2009) 718, `arXiv:0901.4458 [hep-th]`.

[21] P. West, *Supergravity, Brane Dynamics and String Duality*, `arXiv:hep-th/9811101`.

[22] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. Olive, *Supersymmetry, supergravity theories and dual spinor model*, Nucl. Phys. **B122** (1977) 253.

[23] G. 't Hooft, Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional peseudoparticle. Phys. Rev. **D14** (1976) 3432.

[24] L. Brink, J. H. Schwarz and J. Scherk, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B121** (1977) 77.

[25] P. Di Vecchia, *Duality in supersymmetric $N = 2, 4$ gauge theories*, `arXiv:hep-th/9803026`.

[26] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. de Wit, J. Gheerardyn, R. Halbersma, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, *Superconformal N=2, D=5 matter with and without actions*, JHEP **0210** (2002) 045, `arXiv:hep-th/0205230`.

[27] M. Billò, M. Frau, I. Pesando, F. Fucito, A. Lerda and A. Liccardo, *Classical gauge instantons from open strings*, JHEP **0302** (2003) 045, `arXiv:hep-th/0211250`.

[28] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton series in Physics, Princeton University Press.

[29] P. Di Vecchia, R. Musto, F. Nicodemi and R. Pettorino, Nucl. Phys. **B252** (1985) 635.

[30] F. Riccioni, *Low-energy structure of six-dimensional open-string vacua*, `arXiv:hep-th/0203157`.

[31] D. Härtl, O. Sclotterer and S. Stiegerger, *Higher Point Spin Field Correlators in D=4 Superstring Theory*, Nucl.Phys. **B834** (2010) 163, `arXiv0911.5168 [hep-th]`.

[32] D. Härtl, O. Sclotterer, *Higher Loop Spin Field Correlators in Various Dimensions*, `arXiv:1011.1249v1 [hep-th]`.

[33] P. West, *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, World Scientific. [Equation (12.21)].